City of Benson
City Council Communication

Regular Meeting **December 14, 2015**

To: Mayor and Council Consent Agenda Item # 1a

From: Vicki Vivian, CMC, City Clerk

Subject:

Minutes of the October 12, 2015 Worksession

Discussion:

Attached are the minutes of the October 12, 2015 Worksession.

Staff Recommendation:

Council pleasure

THE WORKSESSION
OF THE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF BENSON, ARIZONA
HELD OCTOBER 12, 2015 AT 5:30 P.M.
AT CITY HALL, 120 W. 6TH STREET, BENSON, ARIZONA

CALL TO ORDER:

Mayor King called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m. with the Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL:

Present were: Mayor Toney D. King, Councilmembers Pat Boyle, Jeff Cook, Joe Konrad and David Lambert.
Absent were: Vice Mayor Lori McGoffin, Councilmember Chris Moncada.

NEW BUSINESS:

1. **Presentations and discussion regarding Community Facilities District (CFD) Policy and Procedures and the process for creating and establishing a CFD/SD (Special District); discussion may include but not be limited to the selection of a CFD Board, the roles and selections left to be made in support of the CFD Board and issuing of bonds**

City Manager William Stephens stated Councilmember Konrad requested a worksession to discuss the next steps in the Community Facilities District (CFD) process. Mr. Stephens then stated there has been no submission for an application to form a CFD, but the Council needs to be thinking about the process of forming both a CFD and policies and procedures, so once an application is submitted, they can move forward with those items in place. Mr. Stephens then stated the worksession will allow the bond attorney, Ken Gukenberger of Kutak Rock and the contracted bond underwriter, Mark Reader of Stifel, Nicolas & Company, to sit before Council to explain from their vast experiences, their ideas and thoughts, as well as help fuel the discussion and answer questions the Council may have as they begin to formulate the processes on the CFD.

Mayor King asked Mr. Guckenberger and Mr. Reader to come forward to the table at the front of the Council chambers and introduce themselves one more time. Mr. Guckenberger stated he was with Kutak Rock and is acting as the City's bond counsel with respect to the formation of the CFD. Mr. Reader then stated he was with Stifel, Nicolas & Company, serving as the bond underwriter, adding his role is to help with the structuring of the bond transaction and assist with all aspects of that and ultimately to sell bonds to investors.

Councilmember Konrad stated the City has never done this before and doesn't have any policies or procedures in place, adding he hopes to get educated so the Council can move forward. Councilmember Konrad then stated he was a big fan of simplicity, adding when it comes to formulating policies and procedures, he would have to assume most of it is covered under state law. Mayor King then interjected, asking Mr. Guckenberger and Mr. Reader to speak one at a time, adding he wanted the meeting to go well and if Mr. Guckenberger and Mr. Reader disagreed, to be polite about it and not speak over each other.

Mr. Guckenberger stated the first question to ask was whether the Council needed to have policies and procedures, adding Councilmember Konrad was correct that CFDs are governed by state law and is fairly specific, adding the Council could go through the process and not have them. Mr. Guckenberger then stated other cities do have them and he knows the Council went to Buckeye, where they have a very detailed set which he thinks was one of the sources for the draft. Mr. Guckenberger then stated one of the struggles for Benson, because the development is a large contemplated project that will happen over multiple years, is that if the Council chooses to have policies and procedures, it could be problematic if they are inflexible. Mr. Guckenberger then stated it would be up to Staff and Council to think about that if they think policies and procedures are worth doing ends up deciding to have them, he thinks the Council should take some time to get them in place and he would recommend erring on the side of being general and having some flexibility so they don't have to be amended a lot.

Mr. Reader stated he agreed it was not a state requirement to establish CFD policies and procedures and it was up to the Council, adding in his experience in Arizona, not every city or town that has CFDs have adopted policies and procedures. Mr. Reader then stated one advantage to having them is the Council will learn a lot as they go through them page by page, adding the policies and procedures are not super-technical and they contain important public policy information in them. Mr. Reader then stated if the Council did choose to have policies and procedure, they would probably continue to learn as questions are addressed while the policies and procedure are reviewed.

Mayor King stated the Council has the Town of Buckeye's CFD policies and procedures and he knows there are others; then asked if those had been received with Finance Director Dustin DeSpain stating he had received 4 total. Mr. Stephens then stated one of the things the Council should consider that both Mr. Guckenberger and Mr. Reader pointed out is that should the Council decide to have policies and procedures in place, the Council needs to decide whether they would want more restrictive and detailed policies and procedures, which would be less flexible or to have more general policies and procedures and may want to explore how those differences may affect the Council with their decision-making and required meetings. Mayor King then asked both Mr. Guckenberger and Mr. Reader to elaborate on the matter from their experiences.

Mr. Guckenberger stated he noticed some municipalities' policies and procedures required a financial plan at the time of the application submission, adding some call the plan a formal feasibility study. Mr. Guckenberger stated this could be a little tricky, because at the time of application, the process is still in the early stages and to get a bond feasibility study is a little harder to obtain, but more than an educated guess would be an appropriate request from the City as far as the size and scope of the project, how much infrastructure the applicant thinks they might be able to finance with bonds and what other financial tools they have. Mr. Guckenberger then stated those are good questions and he would emphasize not to set the bar too high on the feasibility study. Mr. Guckenberger then stated another thing to consider is how the City is going to get its costs covered and whether that is done through an application fee and if so, how that fee is set, such as per district or per application, adding on the other side of that, many cities will have a fee that is ongoing to cover staff costs. Mr. Guckenberger then stated the Council will have to decide how to set that fee, adding he doesn't think anyone knows how large and what the scope of the project will become, but the Council will want to set those policies to protect the City and as opposed to thinking in terms of dollar figures and application fees, to think in the terms of covering costs and deposits from the applicant to make the Council's life easier in administering this process.

Mr. Reader then spoke stating if the Council chooses to move forward with policies and procedures; the Council will need to consider what kind of fee structure they want to set up and paid by developer to pay the City's cost, in connection with the valuation of the application submitted and in his experience, there has been only one fee for that. Mr. Reader then stated he wanted to clarify that around the State, the application fees in a set amount range from $25,000 to $150,000, noting the City doesn't have to charge an application fee, which is a policy decision made by Council, but he sees these around the state and there is a wide variety in the amount charged. Mr. Reader then stated Staff spends a fair amount of time and the attorneys spend a fair amount of time analyzing the application and there are other types of costs, adding if more funding is needed to evaluate the application, the policies can lay it out to ask for that from the developer. Mr. Reader then stated as far as the policies and procedures being flexible, he thinks the Council could work hard to make it practical, adding he didn't think anyone wanted developers to spend hundreds of thousands of dollars on feasibility studies or pay money just to pay money, but he did think that cities asking for an application fee that was reasonably thought out was fine. Mr. Reader then stated the Council wants to have a product that makes sense, adding in his experience, adopted policies and procedures don't change or get amended much, but noted Florence recently amended theirs. Mr. Reader then stated Florence has a big master-planned community; Merrill Ranch and the Town changed its definition on how to use bond money in order to address some issues and be able to use bond money for a specific purpose, but again stated in his experience in the last 10 years, adopted policies and procedures haven't been amended too many times around the State.

Councilmember Lambert asked Mr. Reader who could change the policies and procedures once they were

adopted by the Council and if an outside board, if created by Council, could change the policies and procedures or if the changes would have to come back to the Council with Mr. Reader stating that was more of a legal question and he would defer to the attorney. City Attorney Paul Loucks stated the answer would depend on the content of the policies and procedures, adding for example, if the content dictates the application fee and when Council would consider the formation of a district, then it would go back to the Mayor and Council. Mayor King then asked about being 2 years into the process and something coming up at that point requesting a change and if an outside board would be able to make the change or if it would be something that would come back to the Council with Mr. Loucks stating it would depend on the type of change, adding if a policy says, for example, bond funds won't be spent on a certain type of improvement, and that is a change being considered, the attorneys would have to look at it. Mr. Loucks then stated the Council will probably be asking the developer to agree with the policies and if they do, then it really depends on whether that agreement is assigned over to the CFD board, adding if it isn't, then the change would have to come back to Council for approval.

Mr. Guckenberger stated he thinks it's going to be the City's policies that will be in place, adding one of the overwhelming sentiments is rather than getting too specific and reciting the current statutory provisions, he thinks the Council should be thinking in terms more of setting general policies and what the Council envisions these districts to look like and from there, the Council can hold the developer accountable to that. Mr. Guckenberger then stated the point of an outside board was mentioned, and he thinks an outside board has 2 implications, the first being what the standards would be for an outside board, adding there is a statutory requirement in terms of the size of the district that could qualify for it and he thinks he has seen policies that recite those types of current provisions. Mr. Guckenberger then stated the second implication of an outside board is that there are slight impacts on carrying costs, because the board would not be holding their board meetings with the City Council; it would be a separate board with a separate costs structure. Mr. Guckenberger then stated he thinks one of the arguments for an outside board is that it takes the burdens off the City Council, adding if and when the Council adopts policies and procedures, they should think about how to account for costs if the Council approves having an outside board because in theory, there should be less costs if there is an outside board.

Mayor King stated there are both strict and more lenient policies and procedures in other cities and asked which type are more successful as far as working best for everyone. Mr. Guckenberger stated his experience is in multiple states, adding he is litigating a case in Colorado right now. Mr. Guckenberger then stated when cities try to get too specific in their documents that oversee districts, they sometimes end up shooting themselves in the foot. Mr. Guckenberger then stated he recommends trying to stay away from parroting State law language, adding it doesn't need to be repeated in the Council's policies and procedures unless there is a specific reason or issue the Council is concerned about, such as if the Council decided they only wanted to have CFD boards that are the City Council sitting ex-officio and wanted that as a policy. Mr. Guckenberger then stated if that were the case, it should be detailed in the policies and procedures, but absent that, his belief is that the Council is going to be better off in the general and not try to restate State law. Mr. Guckenberger then said when Councils restate State law, they end up getting cross-wise and are at odds with State statues instead of being complimentary to State law or the State statutes change and the Council has to come back and modify the policies and procedures to conform. Mr. Guckenberger then stated those are the 2 reasons he would err on the side of general vs. specific, adding he would think in terms of general vs. specific as opposed to more lenient vs. strict.

Mr. Reader then stated all the policies and procedures he has seen are for the most part, good, thorough, well thought out and user-friendly, adding some have a little more strict language in places, such as being more restrictive in what they want bond money spent on and he would tend to agree they need to be practical, but in his experience, it's more important what happens after the policies and procedures, when the application is submitted. Mr. Reader then stated when an application for a district formation is submitted, it is reviewed by Council and Staff, adding Staff and advisors as well as Council will have questions in reviewing the application and in his experience, a lot of times being successful comes down to taxes. Mr. Reader then stated CFDs are a taxing entity and public finance tool, adding they have the authority to issue general obligation and special assessment bonds resulting in taxes, which is a sensitive issue. Mr. Reader then stated in his

experience, the successful communities have done a good job of answering questions up front instead of down the road and in educating and informing those people on districts and why the taxes the people in the district pay benefit them. Mr. Reader then stated other things to consider are insurance issues, legal indemnification issues, disclosure-related issues and subsidy issues, adding in his experience in Arizona working with CFDs, which he does daily, the policies and procedures adopted by cities have been for the most part user-friendly and flexible, adding he would suggest proposing the same thing in Benson. Mayor King asked Mr. Reader to explain flexible with Mr. Reader stating the policies and procedures should not be too stringent on the applicant, adding they shouldn't try to make the requirements so expensive that it's unreasonable to comply with, adding he thinks there is a way to design a policy that allows the City to get the right information from the applicant with respect to what the City wants to see in the application for formation with a variety of information that will make the process easier and to see what other questions there may be.

Councilmember Cook then stated Benson was just under 5,100 in population and if the project goes as planned, the population can quickly increase to 6,500, not including all the other businesses attracted to this growth, adding right now, the City's parks, Community Center, Visitor Center, Library and City Hall are all basically sized for 5,100 instead of 6,500. Councilmember Cook then asked if the City would be able to use some of the bond money to expand and improve existing City facilities that are outside the district and will face an increased burden due to growth.

Mr. Guckenberger stated the fundamental reason these development districts exist is to make growth pay for itself and the bond finance is going to be within the district. Mr. Guckenberger then stated there can be intergovernmental agreements with the City and with other regional entities to the extent the Council needs to meet growth in other places that will serve those residents, but reminded the Council that the City will also have the overall tax base grow as part of this population growth.

Mr. Reader then stated he thinks Councilmember Cook's question is if the CFD could issue bonds and some of the bond proceeds be used to perhaps finance a library or other public infrastructure that would be strained by the additional population with Councilmember Cook confirming this. Mr. Reader then stated the public infrastructure is paid for by the people in that district and they would benefit from that infrastructure; then stated it's kind of a grey area and he would lean on the lawyers, to the extent that people outside benefit from that, but the people inside the district boundaries pay the taxes.

Mr. Guckenberger then stated if there is a public park or open trails in the district, those would be open to the district as well as being open to all residents, adding there will clearly be some infrastructure within the project that the developer will need to attract residents and will be public in nature. Mr. Guckenberger then stated taxing residents in the district to pay for benefits or things outside the district may have some resistance, adding the law is not set up that way, but is actually set up the opposite way; to make the new residents in the development pay for their own growth. Mr. Guckenberger then stated the Council needed to remember the district would be layering this tax burden on top of the normal property tax being paid by residents today and that there will be more taxpayers in the City, resulting in increased property tax revenue also.

Mayor King stated he wanted to make it clear that the infrastructure in the district, such as a fire house and parks basically meant for the development can still be used by everyone, but are being paid for by the special taxing district with Mr. Guckenberger confirming this.

Councilmember Cook stated one of the speakers indicated the City Council could possibly use development agreements to encourage the developer's participation with improving our City facilities that have an increased burden from that growth. Mr. Guckenberger stated under State Statutes, the City can enter into an intergovernmental agreement that makes sense if the City is going to expand a water plant which will be used in part by the residents inside the district and also serves other city residents.

Mayor King stated he wanted to make it clear that the new residents will be paying for the bonds and the current property owners will not. Both Mr. Guckenberger and Mr. Reader stated Mayor King was correct.

Councilmember Cook stated multiple CFDs have been proposed and there may only be a need for 1 or maybe 2 police substations, but there could be 6 or 8 CFDs, then asked how to get all districts to pay for these over a 20-year period since the last district may not be developed for 20 years and expressed concern over getting all the districts participating in paying the costs of the new fire station, new park and new police substation.

Mr. Guckenberger stated he thought this was jumping ahead a few meetings and these were questions the Council would be asking when they receive the application; then stated if the Council wants this in their policies and procedures, they could have a statement to the effect that the Council expects the districts that will be created will be formed in a way to be able to pay for the costs that are going to be incurred by the City, adding that is the kind of general policy he was talking about earlier. Mr. Guckenberger then stated the Council would then have to see what the developer's financial plan is, what their timeframe is and how many CFDs they are proposing, adding those are all things the Council gets to when they review the application.

Councilmember Cook stated he wasn't sure he was being clear; then stated his thought is if the Council creates the first of 8 or 10 districts, at a point when there is a certain number of citizens there, it will trigger building a fire station and there would only be 1 district paying for it; then subsequently there would be other CFDs that would also need to be participating in paying for it.

Mr. Guckenberger then stated he doesn't know if there would be 7 or 8 districts requested, adding he heard 3 might be a better number; then stated they're going to want some kind of an equalization agreement among those districts to make sure the last district is paying the same as the first, adding they don't want one district with a burden that is misaligned in terms of taxes or assessments or whatever their method is for phase 1 versus phase 2 or phase 3. Mr. Guckenberger then stated those are all details the Council will see in the application and he thinks in the policies and procedures, the Council could say it is Benson's desire to not have districts with radically different taxing assessment burdens. Mr. Guckenberger then stated the application should try to accommodate those goals when it's submitted. Councilmember Cook asked if this would be something the Council would make part of the policies and procedures with Mr. Guckenberger stating it could, adding in multiple districts structure, the Council would expect to see plans and financial models that will show tax burdens that are nondescript and that are in some measure equal amongst equally situated people. Mr. Guckenberger then stated this is an example of why it would be better to create a policy in general terms, adding there might be a district with a special assessment and special burdens for special benefits, stating this may be something in the development model. Mr. Guckenberger then stated that may be ok with the Council, and this is why he would recommend not getting too specific and instead, creating a general policy that would address districts being equal and not having drastically different taxes in order to prevent residents from showing up and complaining about their different assessments if there is a multiple district structure with significantly disparate taxing or assessment burdens.

Mr. Reader then stated Festival Ranch in Buckeye is 5,000 or 6,000 acres, Merrill Ranch in Florence is 6,000 or 7,000 acres and Vistancia in Peoria is several thousand acres, adding he generally sees 1 district with a finance plan of fairness and equity in tax rates and assessments. Mr. Reader then stated the bonds are generally issued long-term with a 25-year amortization, adding this is fair in allowing future people to help pay for that public infrastructure over time, noting everyone pays their fair share over time. Mr. Reader then stated with multiple bond issues in one district, everyone works together as a collaborative team with a common goal to effectuate a way to move forward with the plan of finance so that everyone is treated fairly and the same over the 20- or 25-year period, adding he believes this is probably what the developer wants also. Mr. Reader then stated as new residential homes are built, the tax base is growing, adding hopefully there is some commercial tax base also, then additional bonds are sold to accommodate that growth.

Mr. Guckenberger stated there have been meetings where the developer has talked about a multiple districts structure and he thinks if the Council is talking about policies and procedures, they might want to anticipate how to handle that. Mr. Guckenberger then stated in some cities' existing policies and procedures, the Council would see statements to the effect that they generally prefer lesser districts over more districts for ease of governance and cost, but that's something he thinks the Council should anticipate given what has been heard in prior meetings.

Mr. Reader stated a multiple district structure situation exists in Florence for the Pulte project, adding that project is a little unique, but generally in Arizona, projects have 1 district with a common financing plan. Mr. Reader then stated he doesn't know if the developer wants more than 1 district as he hasn't seen the plan, but if they do want more than 1 district, it's doable. Mr. Reader then stated in Florence, there is the Merrill Ranch CFD 1 and the Merrill Ranch CFD 2, adding there are various reasons it happened 15 years ago, but they have the same common plan of finance and they want fairness and equity within the boundaries of each district so people all feel they are being treated fair and equitably.

Councilmember Cook stated he understood the intent of the response to his question and those are his concerns, adding since it would be appropriate to address the issue in the policies and procedures, the Council would likely rely on Mr. Guckenberger and Mr. Reader for the legal enforceable language. Mr. Guckenberger stated he thought Councilmember Cook was articulating an equal protection concern for similarly situated residents being taxed at different rates with Councilmember Cook confirming this. Councilmember Cook then stated this question has been answered every time in an appropriate way, but he wanted to get more assurance that when the Council creates policies and procedures, the language is done in a legal way, instead of a conversational way.

Councilmember Boyle then asked about policies and procedures existing somewhere, a way these can be done without reinventing the wheel and if there were some type of boiler plate the Council could use with Mr. Guckenberger stating he has 5 or 6 policies and procedures from different cities that the Council could draft theirs from. Mr. Guckenberger then stated he would expect something along those lines and would expect as a Councilperson, a summary with 5 or 6 policy points arrived at with Council input, such as a fee structure, what kind of financial information the Council wants at the time of the application, what type of involvement the Council is going to have in terms of boards, whether the Council serve as the board or if there will be an independent board and other points. Mr. Guckenberger then stated he didn't think this would be a multi-month process, adding it's getting with Staff, cranking out a set of policies and moving on, if that is the pleasure of the Council. Mr. Guckenberger then stated the Council needs to direct Staff if this is what they want to do or decide if they have enough since they have been dealing with this particular development for so long that trying to throw a net around it at this point is a fool's error, adding that is a Council decision.

Mr. Reader then stated he was here to help and answer questions, then stated Staff could craft policies and procedures if that was the direction the Mayor and Council wanted to go, adding they could be done in a very timely manner and brought back to Council for their consideration and possible adoption.

Councilmember Boyle asked if the draft would be given to the developer and if the developer would be used as part of the process in determining what they would like as well as limits and restrictions with Mr. Guckenberger stating he would think the Council would work with the developer in creating policies and procedures because if the Council adopts procedures the developer can't live with, the Council will have to come back and amend what they adopt. Mr. Guckenberger then stated with an applicant looming who has been before the Council multiple times, he would encourage the Council to engage the (applicant) developer in the process and get their input. Mr. Guckenberger then stated he would work with the City Attorneys and at the Council's direction, he would also work with the developer.

Mayor King asked if this was the practice in the past with other cities and developers with Mr. Guckenberger stating the Council is getting input from the developer either before or after adopting policies, adding a lot of times, it happens after. Mr. Guckenberger then stated he thought Mr. Reader was making reference to some flexibility in the policies and trying to make them user-friendly, adding it's a philosophical decision of the Council. Mr. Guckenberger then stated in a development of this size, the developer was going to be the City's development partner and the Council is going to have to be comfortable working with the developer, adding if the Council isn't comfortable, they should not get in the business of CFDs. Mr. Guckenberger then stated he didn't think there was anything nefarious about that, noting the developer is a large landowner in the City and if they want to develop that property and if that's something the Council wants to encourage and work with, he thinks it is an appropriate posture to take and is really a more efficient and cost effective way is to hear the

developer out. Mr. Guckenberger then stated the Council is probably not going to agree with everything the developer wants, but it's a matter of balance and letting City Staff work at policies to produce a product the Council can either approve or not approve.

Mayor King stated he felt it was better to have everyone involved, adding the Council can disagree and ask for changes, but instead of having the proposed policies done over 5 or 10 different meetings, it can be reduced to a few meetings, adding nothing is going to come before the Council perfect and it would be a lot easier in the negotiation of the agreement that way.

Mr. Reader stated in his experience, he can't recall working with developers in the establishment or review of policies and procedures for CFDs, noting it's usually done with just Staff and Council, but he wasn't opposed to it. Mr. Reader then stated he thinks it's been shared that the CFD process is incredibly collaborative and everyone will not agree on everything. Mr. Reader then stated the policies and procedures belong to the Council and it would be their decision on sharing the process with the developer.

Mayor King stated this is something the Council isn't going to agree with on the first step or maybe even the second step, but eventually, there will be agreement and he would think it would be easier to try and get some of it worked out by Staff before bringing it to the Council at a Council meeting.

Councilmember Lambert then stated Mr. Reader had prepared a presentation for the Council and he would like to see it before continuing with Council questions.

Mr. Reader then continued his presentation, stating a lot of the information was a standard CFD presentation, adding he was present to educate the Council and complimented both the Council and Staff for wanting to be educated on probably the most complex issue in the current Councilmembers' history of being on the Council and probably one of the more complex public policy decision they will be making. Mr. Reader then stated the Council doesn't live and breathe CFDs everyday like his firm does, so he was at the meeting to educate the Council. Mr. Reader then stated a CFD is a living, breathing political subdivision, and once formed, it continues to be a living, breathing, political subdivision, probably with perpetual existence, noting CFDs are very difficult to dissolve.

Councilmember Konrad asked what reason there would be to dissolve a CFD once it's formed with Mr. Reader stating the Westinghouse communities were behind the CFD legislation in the late '80s, and they moved forward with forming a CFD and then entered into a contract with an engineering firm, but after a change of ownership, nothing was developed. Mr. Reader then stated the engineering firm was never paid and even though no bonds were ever issued for any purpose and the City wants to dissolve the CFD, the existing obligation with the engineering firm prevents the dissolution.

Mr. Guckenberger then stated another reason to consider dissolving a CFD would be that all the debt associated with the CFD is paid off and there would be no further need to issue bonds. Mr. Guckenberger then stated sometimes there is an operation and maintenance component the Council may feel is appropriate, but once the debt is paid off and the infrastructure is dedicated to the City, there may be no reason to keep the CFD, adding a CFD is primarily a debt financing tool.

Mr. Reader then stated a CFD is a powerful financing vehicle if it is managed properly, but it can be a disaster if it's not, so the Council has to take them CFDs seriously. Mr. Reader then stated CFDs are a powerful and very useful tool for master planned communities and commercial developments, but primarily in Arizona, CFDs are used for master planned residential communities, again stating if they are done right, they can be a great tool for all involved, including the citizens that live in the district, but if they are not done right, they can be a disaster.

Mayor King stated Mr. Reader mentioned commercial development and asked if this was something that would be addressed in the CFD policies and procedures with Mr. Reader stating the Council might consider putting something in their policies and procedures that the City would consider CFDs for commercial purposes

also, adding hopefully, the proposed development has a commercial component to it and will increase the City's tax base.

Councilmember Cook stated he understood a CFD could either be something very positive or a potential disaster if not handled correctly and then asked for Mr. Reader to give the Council examples, adding these might help guide the Council in creating their own policies and procedures. Mr. Reader stated one case involved the Watson Road CFD in Buckeye, which was residential with a large component of commercial property, noting the City of Buckeye ran out of wastewater treatment capacity and literally had no more room in the wastewater treatment plant to give out any more building permits in 2004/2005 and didn't have the money to expand the treatment plant. Mr. Reader then stated the CFD issued $50 million in bonds so the City could continue to grow and it did. Mr. Reader then stated Watson Road, they will see it's nothing but commercial and noted the CFD had a big part in that.

Mr. Reader then listed some of the cities that have CFDs formed in Arizona, stating approximately 20% of about 90 cities in Arizona have used CFDs, including Marana, Sahuarita and Mesa, who is updating their CFD policies and is a big user of CFDs. Mr. Reader then stated in Mesa, the former General Motors proving grounds will be developed into a beautiful master planned community. Mr. Reader then continued stating other CFDs formed in Arizona are in Rancho Sahuarita and Peoria, with Vistancia West, which is next to the big, successful Vistancia project, adding Phase II will have the same tax rate as Phase I. Mr. Reader then stated from a policy stand, the Peoria Council wants the same tax rate as the original Vistancia project that was done 12 years ago, even though the newer CFD was just formed 2 months ago. Mr. Reader then stated 2 other cities are considering formation as we speak, adding development is happening and CFDs are being used about 20-22% by the time these are done. Mr. Reader then stated recent bond sales he is working on include the just finished $37 million refinancing for Vistancia, adding the bonds were just issued about 90 days ago to initiate the first property tax for the district and that tax base is growing by leaps and bounds. Mr. Reader then continued with a list of bonds he is currently working on including Estrella Mountain, which is a very successful project is Goodyear. Mr. Reader continued, stating he is also working on 4 bond issues for Pulte, between Merrill and Festival, with 2 being general obligation bonds and 2 being special assessment bonds. Mr. Reader then stated he was trying to give the Council a flavor of who is using CFDs and who is issuing bonds after their formation, adding all of these projects have been very successful master planned residential communities so far, with few exceptions.

Mr. Reader then continued with CFD background information, stating CFDs have been around for about 25 years, Arizona has now got some good experience and his firm knows what they are doing, but cities should still be cautious. Mr. Reader then stated bond money can be used for virtually any public infrastructure located within the boundaries of the district, including highways, streets, roads, public parking facilities, landscaping, sewer, utilities, flood control, street lights, parks and recreation facilities, with the exception of stadiums, but to include fire stations and other things. Mr. Reader then stated one of the projects for Merrill Ranch was a fire station built with general obligation bond proceeds, pursuant to the development agreement.

Mr. Reader then stated he wouldn't elaborate on the legal issues the Council talked about earlier unless the Council wanted him to, adding they are mostly legal, operational financial and credit-related technical points the Council will get into down the road. Mr. Reader then stated the nice thing about CFDs to the extent bonds are issued and subsequent bonds are issued, is that they are on the county tax rolls, which is a nice administrative part of it.

Mr. Reader then continued the presentation on the general benefits of a CFD, stating there are 2 types of bonds; general obligation bonds payable through property taxes and special assessment liens, which are generally a per acre assessment per lot that the Council can discuss later. Mr. Reader then stated the Council can see that his firm has done about 150 transactions in Arizona since the early '90s and is approaching about $1billion in bonds issued in Arizona for projects around the state. Mr. Reader then stated the next chart in his presentations shows the Council that CFDs come and go with the economy, adding in 2005 development was out of control and with that came the need for public infrastructure, then came the 2008 crash and now everything is just getting back to business. Mr. Reader then stated land secured bonds are used nationally and

are called different things in different states, adding nationally $5.3 billion in bonds were issued in 2006, then stated the bonds follow economic activity and Arizona follows the national economic trend.

Mr. Reader then reviewed some case studies, beginning with Merrill Ranch 1 CFD and the Merrill Ranch 2 CFD, stating these projects had multiple districts and they were able to navigate over the last 10 years and made them work. Mr. Reader then stated Scottsdale Fashion Square was a commercial development that has a CFD associated with it and there are some similar cases in Buckeye and Peoria. Mr. Reader then spoke about the Show Low Bluff CFD, stating this CFD was a situation with challenges, adding the CFD was done in 2004-2005 when everything was great; the City formed the CFD, several hundred million dollars were put into the project by the developer, but then development slowed significantly. Mr. Reader stated there is a $3.25 tax rate levy for bonds and about an $8,500 assessment per lot, but a combination of things such as the recession, the project being geared toward second home market, people putting second homes on hold and a reputation of having too high of a tax rate causing real estate agents to not really market the development have caused problems. Mr. Reader then stated the CFD is working through the them and he believes is thinking of paying off some bonds to lower the tax rate, adding there are no defaults and there is nothing super disastrous, adding the developers are long-term developers, but the situation was tough on them.

Mayor King stated there was about 13 minutes left before the Council would need to adjourn and asked both Mr. Guckenberger and Mr. Reader to each give a closing statement.

Mr. Reader stated he was finished with his presentation and turned the time over to Mr. Guckenberger. Mr. Guckenberger stated the presentation was helpful, then stated one of the presentation slides read that the "potential for disaster if not handled properly" deserves comment, adding what the Council will see in the statutes and in everyone's policies and procedures, with clear enunciation, is that whatever obligations are able to be sold on behalf of the CFD are going to be obligations that are not going to be on the City's balance sheet. Mr. Guckenberger stated that was a pretty clear comment he thinks goes without saying, but it doesn't hurt to reiterate that if and when the Council has a CFD in their community and if and when the CFD is able to issue bonds, the CFD's success in the paying off the bonds is not going to fall at the City's feet. Mr. Guckenberger then stated this goes back to his original statement that growth needs to pay for itself and not overburden and overwhelm cities for these large projects. Mr. Guckenberger then stated this was an important point to make, but it was also important to note that CFDs do go bad, adding it has been seen around the country. Mr. Guckenberger then stated when CFDs go bad, there are bond holders left holding the bag who are not happy with the firms that sold the bonds and are not happy with the bond lawyers. Mr. Guckenberger then stated these bonds are certainly on the riskier end of the municipal debt spectrum, which is something to think about as the Council develops their policies. Mr. Guckenberger then stated one thing he would like to see in the policies and procedures is some requirement for a demonstration for the financial wherewithal of the CFD applicant, adding this is something to show the developer's proposed investment is real. Mr. Guckenberger stated the Council will have to think about how to go about that, but he thinks the Council would want to see that the applicant has the ability to see the project through.

Mr. Reader then stated as a bond underwriter, he is held to high professional standards not only by his firm, but by regulatory agencies, adding his job is to always be transparent and to share lots of information with the Council, noting he is not trying to be negative, but sometimes the information he shares will be negative. Mr. Reader then stated he will continue to share a lot of stories, how CFDs work, when things went wrong and why they went wrong, adding he wants to live up to the high standards he is held to and that the Council expects. Mr. Reader then stated he will continue to make sure that he shares what he knows and answer questions, noting he may not have all the answers, but if he doesn't, he will find out the answers for Council and Staff before the Council makes decisions. Mr. Reader then stated in his personal opinion, the CFD industry in Arizona is highly respected in the investment world, adding the reason is that, even with the recession, there haven't been any serious meltdowns, noting a few CFDs had to be fixed and there was a major developer that went bankrupt and exited the business, but throughout the state, CFDs have done very well. Mr. Reader then stated if the Council were to ask around the state, he thinks they would find that people think a good job has been done in establishing policies and procedures and reasonable underwriting standards. Mr. Reader then stated he is aware of only 1 CFD in Arizona right now that is in a very bad bond default situation

and it is not a CFD his firm was involved with.

Mayor King then thanked Mr. Guckenberger and Mr. Reader for being at the meeting and for the information they gave to Council, then stated he would give each Councilmember an opportunity to make a statement.

Councilmember Konrad stated he would like to see the Council move forward with the policies and procedures so the City can accept an application and can accommodate El Dorado and their project and asked Mr. Stephens what kind of a burden this would place on Staff and what kind of a timeline the Council could expect with Mr. Stephens stating he couldn't speculate on a timeline and this would take some time, like every other issue Staff has, but that Staff would work with the bond counsel and the City Attorneys and no one would drag their feet in moving this forward. Councilmember Konrad asked if this would be the time to direct Staff to move forward with this with Mayor King stating he would defer to the City Attorney. Mr. Loucks stated the Council is discussing what individual Councilmembers want to see and the direction they would like to pursue, but as a collective body, the Council can't make a decision. Mayor King asked if the Council could make recommendations and ask for something to be brought back to Council for their consideration with Mr. Loucks stating the Council could do so. Councilmember Konrad then stated his recommendation would be to come up with a set of policies and procedures which protects the City, but doesn't bog the process down, adding he comes from a manufacturing background and in the transition from mill specs to ISO specs, one of the things he has learned is that you need to write down what is going to be done and do what will be written. Councilmember Konrad then stated one of the biggest mistakes people made in the past was creating too many regulations preventing business being done, adding he is a big fan of simplicity.

Councilmember Boyle stated as the Council develops the policies and procedures, he would like to use the best advice and to use what's already available for ease and to expedite the process.

Councilmember Lambert stated he would also like to see policies and procedures put together and brought to the Council in a worksession with the developer so the Council can get their point of view on the policies and procedures and then get other Councilmembers' points of view, adding both can collaborate on the policies and procedures and then the resulting document can be placed on a future agenda for Council to consider.

Councilmember Cook asked Mr. Guckenberger to verify his role and relation to the City with Mr. Guckenberger stating he has been engaged by the City to be bond counsel with respect to the formation of the CFD. Councilmember Cook asked Mr. Guckenberger if he was working for the CFD or the City with Mr. Guckenberger stating he worked for the City. Councilmember Cook then stated he believes the Council wants to avoid Mr. Guckenberger making any policies and procedures decisions for the Council but that the Council would like to see Mr. Guckenberger's ideas, as well as the City Attorneys' ideas and the developer's ideas, adding they could even present multiple ideas so the Council can consider them and decide what they want.

Mayor King stated he would also like to see this go forward, adding he does have concerns with current City staff levels and someone may need to be hired to help with this and asked Mr. Stephens for his thoughts. Mr. Stephens stated it is a distinct possibility and is something he can look at, assess and make a recommendation to the Council, adding Staff will do what they can in the City's jurisdiction to facilitate something that is good for the economy.

Mayor King then stated this is something that needs to be done sooner rather than later because of the impact of the development. Mayor King then stated he didn't want to overburden City Staff, noting Staff members wear a lot of hats and carry a lot of tasks, but he thinks the City needs to have the Staff on hand to move forward with the draft policies and procedures. Mayor King then stated he knows this will require more meetings and there will be a lot of dialogue between everyone, adding he know there may be some disagreements, but he feels there is nothing all the parties involved can't work out. Mayor King then stated there are a lot of different people on the Council with different thoughts and ideas, but they all want the process to go as smoothly as possible. Mayor King then stated he wants to make sure everything is done the best it can be and thanked Mr. Guckenberger and Mr. Reader for coming to the meeting and presenting their information. Mayor King then stated the regular Council meeting would start at 7:05 p.m.

DEPARTMENT REPORTS: None

ADJOURNMENT:

Councilmember Konrad moved to adjourn at 6:58 p.m. Seconded by Councilmember Lambert. Motion passed 5-0.

_____
Toney D. King, Sr., Mayor

ATTEST:

_____
Vicki L. Vivian, CMC, City Clerk

City of Benson
City Council Communication

Regular Meeting **December 14, 2015**

To: Mayor and Council Consent Agenda Item # 1b

From: Vicki Vivian, CMC, City Clerk

Subject:

Minutes of the October 26, 2015 Worksession

Discussion:

Attached are the minutes of the October 26, 2015 Worksession.

Staff Recommendation:

Council pleasure

THE WORKSESSION
OF THE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF BENSON, ARIZONA
HELD OCTOBER 26, 2015 AT 6:00 P.M.
AT CITY HALL, 120 W. 6TH STREET, BENSON, ARIZONA

CALL TO ORDER:

Mayor King called the meeting to order at 6:03 p.m. with the Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL:

Present were: Mayor Toney D. King, Vice Mayor Lori McGoffin (arriving at 6:09 p.m.), Councilmembers Pat Boyle, Jeff Cook, Joe Konrad and David Lambert. Absent was: Councilmember Chris Moncada.

NEW BUSINESS:

1. **Presentation by Dr. Robert Carreira, Director of the Center for Economic Research, about the economic impact of El Dorado's proposed Villages of Vigneto project, and question and answer session about that presentation and project with Dr. Carreira and El Dorado representatives**

Dr. Carreira asked if he could show a powerpoint presentation to the Council. Mayor King then called for a brief recess at 6:08 p.m. for Staff to set up equipment. Mayor King reconvened the meeting at 6:18 p.m.

Dr. Carreira addressed Council stating he was the President and Chief Economist at www.useconomicresearch.com as well as being the Director of the Center for Economic Research for Cochise College; however, the study he was presented was a study he completed as an independent contractor for El Dorado. Dr. Carreira then reviewed the impacts of the proposed development, the Villages at Vigneto, stating the total economic output of the development over the 18-year development period would be $23.8 billion. Dr. Carreira then stated he used the U.S. Department of Commerce's RIMS model in his study, which accounts for both direct impacts and indirect impacts.

Dr. Carreira stated the $23.8 billion includes the homes in the development being sold for approximately $250,000, adding he was able to compute the impact of those home sales each year, it includes the non-residential construction, which is estimated by El Dorado in their model for designing the community, the infrastructure costs and new household spending, which are some of the direct impacts. Dr. Carreira then mentioned the home sales and that each year the new home sales will make an impact, but that the Council needed to remember that if 500 homes were sold in each year, the impact would be the result of 500 homes the first year, but 1,000 homes the second year and so on, noting the same houses are counted in each successive year to determine the impacts.

Dr. Carreira then briefly explained indirect impacts, which are business-to-business transactions, such as construction companies buying construction materials, office supplies, etc. in the local economy as they build homes. Dr. Carreira then explained that induced impacts are the household spending impacts, not as new residents, which is actually a direct impact, but are the other households that receive money from the new households, such as families of construction workers and others working in support of the project and those new households.

Dr. Carreira then said over the entire 18-year period, there will be approximately $23.8 billion in impacts, but this is more of a trend than an average, because the impacts grow each year until new construction stops. Dr. Carreira then said that as part of that $23.8 billion, household earnings are $7.7 billion, adding the projections are for Cochise County with the majority of the impacts occurring in Benson, but noted impacts would still ripple out throughout Cochise County. Dr. Carreira then stated the jobs at peak construction will be 16,355 the year before the last year of construction and would be 8,780 after construction associated with the buildout of the development is completed.

Mayor King asked if these projections included commercial development with Dr. Carreira stating the projections consider all growth, including new businesses that will be built to meet the demand. Dr. Carreira then stated when construction is over, the annual impact of spending in the economy will be just over $1.2 billion annually, which also means $315 million becomes earnings for households.

Dr. Carreira then briefly spoke about the 20 different industries that will be impacted, noting most impacted will be the construction industy with homes and businesses being built. Dr. Carreira then stated other industries that will be impacted are the real estate and retail industries as well as the healthcare and social assistance industries, not only with people who live in the new development, but also with the people who work there. Dr. Carreira then stated there will be direct, indirect and induced impacts, adding all industries will be impacted.

Dr. Carreira then spoke about household earnings, which is about $1.23 billion in spending and sales, and grows up to $2.15 billion in 2032, but then drops to $1.17 billion when construction is over, adding a portion of the impact becomes household earnings when construction is over and is just the spending of new households, which is projected to be $315 million.

Dr. Carreira then briefly discussed the projected jobs, noting Cochise County has been struggling and lost about 5,500 jobs over the last 6 years or so, but with the development, the County is looking at these jobs coming back, all the way up to 16,000 new jobs at the peak of construction. Dr. Carreira then noted there will be a new economy at that point, due to a community that has developed and brings other people to the area. Dr. Carreira then stated the number of jobs drop back down to 8,780 once new construction is gone, but stated the growth a that point will continue to support the 8,780 jobs in the new economy.

Dr. Carreira then spoke about fiscal impacts, which takes into consideration the valuation projected for the property to project the amount of tax revenue from the taxing districts in Benson over 18 years, noting the total tax revenue to all taxing districts is $1.5 billion and for the City of Benson will be approximately $557.6 million. Dr. Carreira stated most of the land in the proposed development is in the St. David school district, but the Benson hospital and other Benson taxing districts will have new revenue. Dr. Carreira did not that people can select what schools they want their children to attend, but that wasn't projected.

Mayor King then asked about the Cochise County Joint Technical Education District (one of the taxing districts) with Dr. Carreira stating this district, which is a partnership between all the high schools in Cochise County, was a voter-approved initiative for an additional tax to support certain education programs. Vice Mayor McGoffin asked if the programs were similar to the CAN program where students can earn college credits while they are in high school with Dr. Carreira explaning those programs are part of what is supported by this initiative. Dr. Carreira then stated the partnership also allows the school districts to share resources for any career type program offered through the schools.

Dr. Carreira then spoke about the City's tax revenue and the cost of providing City services using the current level of expenses and tax sources, adding grant funding was factored out because any grant funding would not be expected to grow. Dr. Carreira then explained that the CFD (Community Facilities District) would meet the proposed development's start up and operating costs and the public works piece, adding the parks and recreation is assumed to be funded by the development itself. Dr. Carreira then stated what is being spent in the budget now and if the same level of per capita is maintained, the City will end up with $80 million more over the 18-year development period. Dr. Carreira then referred to a graph that demonstrates this, stating in the beginning the revenue will be considerably larger than expenses due to construction sales tax. Dr. Carreira then spoke stated as people move in, there is still some surplus, but in the year 2029, expenses will exceed revenue. Dr. Carreira then stated the City's 2016 budget is balanced with a $700,000 carry forward in reserves and the current tax revenues are not enough to fund the per capita expenditures, adding probably by about 2020 or earlier, the Council would need to adopt a different budget that lowers the capita expenses, adding the development revenue and impacts buys the City until 2028, with the biggest factor being construction sales tax, but if the City continues the current per capita level, it will reach a point where costs exceed revenues.

Vice Mayor McGoffin asked if the study considered construction sales tax being paid where construction

materials are purchased with Dr. Carreira stating the change in the law for this tax only affected remodeling projects and did not affect new construction, which will continue being paid where the new construction occurs. Mayor King then stated the warning is that the current Council and future Councils need to stay fiscally responsible, and look toward the future before the gap starts with Dr. Carreira stating the City can't rely on reserves and carry forward funding, but the development impact buys the City time. Dr. Carreira then stated one of the things on the Council's side is that the City will be transitioning to a different spending model because the population will be increasing from about 5,000 to 60,000+, adding the $80 million surplus will make the transition easier to do, but the Council shouldn't wait until 2027 or they will find themselves in the same situation and trying to balance the budget. Dr. Carreira then stated he could answer any questions the Council may have. After no further discussion, Mayor King thanked Dr. Carreira for his presentation.

ADJOURNMENT:

Vice Mayor McGoffin moved to adjourn at 6:43 p.m. Seconded by Councilmember Konrad. Motion passed 6-0.

_____
Toney D. King, Sr., Mayor

ATTEST:

_____
Vicki L. Vivian, CMC, City Clerk

City of Benson
City Council Communication

Regular Meeting **December 14, 2015**

To: Mayor and Council Consent Agenda Item # 1c

From: Vicki Vivian, CMC, City Clerk

Subject:

Minutes of the October 26, 2015 Regular Meeting

Discussion:

Attached are the minutes of the October 26, 2015 Regular Meeting.

Staff Recommendation:

Council pleasure

THE REGULAR MEETING
OF THE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF BENSON, ARIZONA
HELD OCTOBER 26, 2015 AT 7:00 P.M.
AT CITY HALL, 120 W. 6TH STREET, BENSON, ARIZONA

CALL TO ORDER:

Mayor King called the meeting to order at 7:02 p.m. with the Pledge of Allegiance. Mayor King then stated he had spoken to Councilmember Chris Moncada and City Manager William Stephens and both were unable to attend the meeting due to illness. Mayor King then introduced Bob Dix of the Baha'i Faith who offered the invocation.

ROLL CALL:

Present were: Mayor Toney D. King, Sr., Vice Mayor Lori McGoffin, Councilmembers Pat Boyle, Jeff Cook, Joe Konrad and David Lambert. Absent was: Councilmember Chris Moncada.

EMPLOYEE RECOGNITION:

Mayor King recognized Louis Lopez and Nelda Lopez for each having 5 years of service with the City of Benson.

PROCLAMATION:

Mayor King read a proclamation of the Mayor and Council declaring November 1, 2015 as "Extra Mile Day."

PUBLIC HEARING: None

CALL TO THE PUBLIC:

Audrey Palma, 6th Street, Benson, thanked the Council for continuing bingo for the senior citizens and stated the senior citizens feel they are starting to be recognized and get support from the Council. Ms. Palma then stated the person who said the number of senior citizens is declining is wrong, noting the town is over 35% seniors, but many stay home for health issues and other reasons. Ms. Palma then stated people should take the time to smile and say hello to senior citizens to let them know someone cares, adding someday everyone will be in the category of a senior citizen and will be praying for a little social life. Ms. Palma then stated the bingo program is a way for seniors to meet other people and not be alone and she hopes the Council will continue to support the senior citizens, adding the senior citizens have supported the Council.

Paul Lotsof, a non-Benson resident and Manager of the CAVE FM radio station addressed Council concerning the proposed development. Mr. Lotsof stated Mr. Reinbold was referred to as a principal in El Dorado, then asked what position Mr. Reinbold actually had, adding he was told Mr. Reinbold was the Benson project manager. Mr. Lotsof then asked what a principal was and if Mr. Reinbold owned stock, stating that was a basic question no one thought to ask. Mr. Lotsof then stated last month he revealed that Mr. Reinbold was a defendant in a massive lawsuit and was accused of misrepresenting the demand for airport hangars which were built using a pension fund that was ultimately out over $60 million. Mr. Lotsof then stated during the September 14, 2015 meeting, Mr. Reinbold stated the courts ruled in his favor. Mr. Lotsof then stated he obtained the court document, which was posted on his radio's website, adding that Mr. Reinbold had agreed to pay $7 million' $6 million to the pension fund and $1 million for legal fees. Mr. Lotsof then stated he also learned of a more recent lawsuit Mr. Reinbold was a part of; a 30-year cable service contract in Maricopa in which the HOA sued for a conflict of interest and sought $1 million in damages. Mr. Lotsof then stated there are at least a dozen L.L.C.s formed by El Dorado and based on what he knows from the past, the companies serve two purposes; to create complexity so it's difficult to track money and to be pawns in a chess game where they are sacrificed to protect the king, which is El Dorado, adding other innocent people get rooked. Mr. Lotsof then stated he has read El Dorado wants more than one CFD (Community Facilities District) and asked why, what the amount of money is that will be requested, who will get

it and who will make sure the money is not squandered and will not go to crooks. Mr. Lotsof then stated during the worksession, it was said that CFDs could be disastrous and his opinion is that the task to find a good CFD board isn't possible. Mr. Lotsof then stated building over 1,000 homes each year is questionable and asked if the Council wanted Benson to become a haven for white-collar crime and what would happen if they did not form the district.

Mayor King then publicly thanked Officer Floyd Graf for assisting Ms. Palma, who was leaving the Council meeting.

Dave Thompson, La Cuesta Drive, Benson, stated he was going to comment on Mr. Lotsof's comments, but Mr. Lotsof spoke so fast and mumbled that Mr. Thompson couldn't understand him and therefore had no comments to add.

Barbara Nunn, La Cuesta Drive, Benson, stated she wanted to thank the Council, adding she knows how hard this is; being pulled in every direction from different factions, but asked the Council to please do what is best for Benson. Ms. Nunn then stated Benson is not surviving and is dying every day. Ms. Nunn then stated the Call to the Public should be part of the solutions, not just part of the problems, adding people shouldn't use it for their own agenda and then scurry out the door like a rat, adding they should stay for the rest of the meeting.

Mike Reinbold of El Dorado, 426 N. 44th Street, Phoenix, stated as usual, Mr. Lotsof was half-cocked with half the information. Mr. Reinbold then stated he was a principal of El Dorado and was also a development partner, and told Mr. Lotsof that he could contact him with questions. Mayor King asked Mr. Reinbold to direct his comments to the Council. Mr. Reinbold then stated for 20 years, he has effectively developed numerous successful communities. Mr. Reinbold then stated Mr. Lotsof left off two pieces of information; the case was settled in Mr. Reinbold's favor and the balance of the cases were settled, adding in 2005 he retired and decided to resolve the case. Mr. Reinbold stated the case was under appeal and could have gone on another 5 years, but the opposing party just wanted their legal fees back, so he decided to give them their legal fees and settle the case. Mr. Reinbold then stated the only truth Mr. Lotsof said regarding the lawsuit in Maricopa was that Mr. Reinbold was the President of the HOA. Mr. Reinbold then stated after the first phase, El Dorado sold that interest. Mr. Reinbold then stated there is a very simple reason for the 30-year cable agreement; if services are wanted, people who will invest must be attracted to the development, adding he would do the same thing in Benson to make sure all available facilities are here. Mr. Reinbold then stated from a business perspective, it would seem to him that Mr. Lotsof, who asked the FCC to waive his fees due to financial hardships, would want to further his advertising business and would support growth to attract new customers instead of trying to kill the proposed development and others on every front. Mr. Reinbold then stated he would never go to Mr. Lotsof for any advice, adding Mr. Lotsof clearly doesn't have a clue. Mr. Reinbold then stated Mr. Lotsof has asked the question of "who is going to buy in Benson" and answered 47,000 people will.

Mayor King then asked when speakers at the Call to the Public address the Council, they need to direct their comments to the Council and no one else.

Don Buchanan, River Road, St. David, stated he really hadn't intended to speak tonight, but at the last meeting, someone said that people who had been recalled shouldn't speak and he would like to answer that. Mr. Buchanan then stated he was the first Councilman who was recalled and it was because he was a disruptive force in the community, adding he took that as a compliment and hopes he will continue to be that way. Mr. Buchanan then stated when he was on the Council, the EPA was going to fine each Councilmember $15,000 because the Public Works Supervisor, who he got fired, was ignoring the EPA's requirement to clean up the wastewater treatment plant. Mr. Buchanan then stated other controversial issues he was involved in included the Pioneer Construction Company and how they found a loophole allowing them to not follow State law, adding he wrote to the State Real Estate Commission about it and working during Apache Powder's only strike, adding he had to work to keep his job, but he could see how striking, hourly workers felt. Mr. Buchanan then stated he was also involved with positive things, adding he took the first City employee retirement plan to Council and got it approved and he was behind the new wastewater treatment plant that was used for 10 or 15 years, which saved the taxpayers a lot of money. Mr. Buchanan then stated a lot of people who speak at the Call to the Public haven't contributed to

Benson and he has. Mr. Buchanan then stated he wanted to thank Mike Reinbold and others who like to speak after he does to combat what he says, adding it is a compliment to him and he must be saying something important that worries others or they wouldn't need to be the last speaker.

CITY MANAGER REPORT:

Public Works Director Brad Hamilton addressed Council, giving the dates of upcoming meetings and events.

Tuesday, November 3, 2015	–	Planning & Zoning Meeting, 7:00 p.m., City Hall
Wednesday, November 4, 2015	–	Benson Economic Development Committee, 6:00 p.m., City Hall
Monday, November 9, 2015	–	City Council Meeting, 7:00 p.m., City Hall

Mr. Hamilton then stated for more events in Benson, the public could visit the City's website: www.cityofbenson.com under "What to do Today."

NEW BUSINESS:

1. **Discussion and possible action on the Consent Agenda**

1a. Minutes of the July 13, 2015 Regular Meeting
1b. Authorization of expenditures to lease/purchase Police Department Patrol vehicles under approved budget CIP Project PD 07-1
1c. Invoices processed for the period from October 1, 2015 through October 16, 2015

Councilmember Cook stated he would like to remove Item 1b from the Consent Agenda for Council discussion. Vice Mayor McGoffin then moved to approve the remaining Consent Agenda. Seconded by Councilmember Lambert. Motion passed 6-0.

Consent Agenda – Items Removed:

1b. Authorization of expenditures to lease/purchase Police Department Patrol vehicles under approved budget CIP Project PD 07-1

Councilmember Cook asked if this was in the budget with Finance Director Dustin DeSpain stating it was. Mr. DeSpain then stated the CIP listed separate purchases; 2 unmarked vehicles and 3 marked vehicles, then stated by combining the purchases, the City was able to secure a lower interest rate of 3.9% instead of 6%. Mr. DeSpain then stated, if approved, 2 vehicles will be obtained now and 3 will be obtained in February, adding the City will only be paying for the first 2 vehicles until February, when the 3 other vehicles are obtained. Councilmember Cook stated he hoped some of the vehicles being retired could be used by the City for City business, meetings and training that occurs out of the City with Mr. Hamilton stating most of the vehicles being retired are at the end of their life, but the ones that can be used and are economically viable will be repurposed for City use.

Mayor King stated the lease/purchase program is nothing new to the Council, adding it's been done many times over the years, adding at the end of the lease, the City retains the vehicles. Mayor King then stated he felt it was time to replace the old police vehicles and moved to approve the authorization of the expenditures to lease/purchase the Police Department patrol vehicles under the approved budget CIP Project PD 07-1. Seconded by Vice Mayor McGoffin. Councilmember Konrad then thanked Mr. DeSpain for researching the issue and find the City a better interest rate. Motion passed 6-0.

2. **Discussion and possible action regarding Colby & Powell, PLC Letters of Engagement with the City of Benson for Audit Services**

Finance Director Dustin DeSpain stated the City advertised for proposals and had received 8 responses. Mr. DeSpain then stated the responses were reviewed by a committee, who found Colby & Powell exceeded the

expectations. Mr. DeSpain then stated Staff is now asking for Colby & Powell to be engaged to perform the City's audit, which includes the audit and the financial statements. Vice Mayor McGoffin moved to approve the Colby & Powell, PLC letters of engagement with the City of Benson for audit services. Seconded by Councilmember Konrad. Motion passed 6-0.

3. **Discussion and possible action regarding the transfer of the Hangar Agreement between the City of Benson and Cliff Deane Aviation, L.L.C. to Flying Fletchers, L.L.C.**

   Public Works Director Brad Hamilton stated this item is for the transfer of a ground lease at the airport with a hangar built on it, adding the only change is who the City will bill for the lease. Councilmember Konrad moved to approve the transfer of the Hangar Agreement from Cliff Deane Aviation, L.L.C. to Flying Fletchers, L.L.C. Seconded by Councilmember Boyle. Motion passed 6-0.

DEPARTMENT REPORTS: No comments from Council.

ADJOURNMENT:

Vice Mayor McGoffin moved to adjourn at 7:35 p.m. Seconded by Councilmember Lambert. Motion passed 6-0.

_____
Toney D. King, Sr., Mayor

ATTEST:

_____
Vicki L. Vivian, CMC, City Clerk

City of Benson
City Council Communication

Regular Meeting **December 14, 2015**

To: Mayor and Council Agenda Item # 1d

From: Dustin DeSpain, Finance Director

Subject:

Resignation of Lupe Diaz from the Transit Advisory Committee (TAC)

Discussion:

Lupe Diaz has submitted his resignation from the Transit Advisory Committee. This will leave 1 vacancy on the committee.

Staff Recommendation:

Approval of the Resignation of Lupe Diaz from the Transit Advisory Committee (TAC)

City of Benson
City Council Communication

Regular Meeting **December 14, 2015**

To: Mayor and Council Agenda Item # 1e

From: Dustin DeSpain, Finance Director

Subject:

Appointment of Kirk Sorenson to the Transit Advisory Committee (TAC)

Discussion:

The Transit Advisory Committee's (TAC) role is to advise the grantee agency on the operation of the transit system. This includes ensuring the service responds to the changing local needs, commenting on service quality and effectiveness, soliciting community participation and helping the system achieve financial sustainability and related issues.

With the recent resignation of Lupe Diaz from the committee, Mr. Sorenson, if approved, will fill Mr. Diaz' position.

Staff Recommendation:

Appointment of Kirk Sorenson to the Transit Advisory Committee (TAC)

Benson Arizona Founded 1880
Gateway to the San Pedro Valley

APPLICATION FOR BOARD, COMMITTEE OR COMMISSION

Name: Kirk Sorensen
Address: 445 W Cactus St
Phone: 520-586-2417
Email: pastorkirk@cox.net

Which Board, Committee or Commission are you interested in?
Transit Advisory Committee

Please state why you would like to serve.
I am concerned about the quality of life and services in the Benson community. It is a blend of government, commercial, social and spiritual involvement.

What do you believe to be the key responsibility of this position?
Providing vision and guidance for the transportation needs and services in Benson and surrounding areas.

Please state why you believe that a Board Member, Committee Member or Commissioner can make a community better.
Understanding the needs of residents and the responsibility of leadership promotes a balanced approach to meeting needs with limited resources.

What personal and/or professional experience or background will be advantages to this Board, Committee or Commission?
Extensive management and leadership positions working with volunteers and local citizens. Coordinating civic events working with city leadership in Benson and currently substitute school bus driver in Benson

Each Board, Committee or Commission has scheduled meetings. The meetings could last 2 or 3 hours. Will this be a hardship?
no

Board Members, Committee Members or Commissioners may be asked to participate in sub-committees that meet other than the regularly scheduled meeting time. Will you be able to participate?

yes

List any Professional, trade, business, or civic activities and offices held. *You may exclude memberships which would reveal sex, race, religion, national origin, age, ancestry, or handicap or other protected status.*

Department manager for Safeway in Tucson, Pinetop, Show Low. Pastor in Holbrook, Pinetop, Benson
Ministerial associations in Holbrook and Benson
Co-chair Salvation Army Service Crt Unit - Benson

Have you been convicted of a felony within the last seven (7) years? ____ Yes X No
If yes please explain. A positive answer will not necessarily disqualify you from consideration.

APPLICANT'S STATEMENT

I certify that answers given herein are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I authorize investigation of all statements contained in this application for appointment to the Board, Committee or Commission as may be necessary in arriving at an appointment decision by the City Council.

In the event of appointment,

- I understand that false or misleading information given in my application may result in my being excused from the Board, Committee or Commission.
- I understand that attendance is important and I will strive to attend all meetings and that continual absences will result in my being excused from the Board, Committee or Commission.
- I understand that I am required to abide by all Arizona and City of Benson Statutes and Regulations adopted by these governing organizations.
- I understand that this application is subject to the Arizona Open Records law and should not be considered confidential.

Signature of Applicant Date 11/10/15

Office Use Only:

Appointment Date _____

Term of Position _____

Date of Resignation/Term End _____

Notification by: _____

City of Benson
City Council Communication

Regular Meeting **December 14, 2015**

To: Mayor and Council Consent Agenda Item # 1g

From: Dustin DeSpain, Finance Director

Subject:

Invoices processed for the period from November 13, 2015 through December 3, 2015

Discussion:

Attached is a list of large and/or unusual invoices processed by the Finance Department during the period from November 13, 2015 through December 3, 2015. The total of the invoices is $354,860.81.

Arizona Public Safety Retirement	Bi-weekly retirement contribution	12,499.65
Arizona State Retirement	Bi-weekly retirement contribution	20,354.70
AZ Municipal Risk Retention Pool	Quarterly Insurance Premium	46,760.50
Benson Volunteer Fire	Monthly Contribution	6,600.00
Blue Cross Blue Shield	Monthly Health Insurance Premium Pa	41,439.55
Cochise County Treasurer - Waste	Monthly Solid Waste Expense	14,918.04
Executech	Software Maintenance	5,756.47
GM Financial	Police Vehicle Leases	28,700.96
H & E Equipment Services	Fire Engine Expenses - Grant	9,030.65
Mesch, Clark & Rothschild	Attorney Fees	11,518.35
Senergy Petroleum	Diesel & Fuel	5,062.00
Simplot Partners	Seed & Fertilizer	10,127.42
Southwest Disposal	Monthly Garbage Collection	30,260.27
SSVEC	Monthly City Electric Bill	30,186.67
TOTAL LARGE INVOICES		273,215.23
Invoices listed above as a percentage of all invoices processed		77%

Staff Recommendation:

Approval of invoices processed for the period from November 13, 2015 through December 3, 2015

Report Criteria:
Report type: Summary
Check.Type = {<>} "Adjustment"

GL Period	Check Issue Date	Check Number	Vendor Number	Payee	Amount	
11/15	11/20/2015	489	6756	SOUTHERN ARIZONA DISTRIBUTING	986.50	M
11/15	11/20/2015	490	6765	SOUTHERN WINE & SPIRITS OF ARIZONA	443.80	M
11/15	11/13/2015	4085	3651	ETS CORPORATION	617.20	M
11/15	11/13/2015	4086	1136	AMERICAN EXPRESS	52.02	M
11/15	11/13/2015	7039	4118	GREYHOUND	375.21	M
11/15	11/20/2015	7040	4118	GREYHOUND	207.10	M
11/15	11/20/2015	7187	1490	AZ STATE RETIREMENT ACC REC	20,354.70	M
12/15	12/01/2015	8001	1130	AMERICAN FAMILY LIFE ASSURANCE	1,801.13	M
11/15	11/25/2015	45604	2327	BRADLEY QUIRING	200.00-	V
11/15	11/13/2015	45693	1095	AIRGAS USA, LLC	199.82	
11/15	11/13/2015	45694	1216	AMERIPRIDE SERVICES INC	153.73	
11/15	11/13/2015	45695	1326	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE	10.00	
11/15	11/13/2015	45696	1195	AUTO CHLOR	221.34	
11/15	11/13/2015	45697	1690	AZ DEPT OF REVENUE - SALES TAX	8,846.05	
11/15	11/13/2015	45698	1728	AZ MUN RISK RETENTION POOL	46,760.50	
11/15	11/13/2015	45699	1901	BARNETTS PROPANE	13.00	
11/15	11/13/2015	45700	3051	CAPITAL ONE COMMERCIAL	667.89	
11/15	11/13/2015	45701	2592	CENTRAL ALARM INC	95.00	
11/15	11/13/2015	45702	2599	CENTURYLINK	1,899.77	
11/15	11/13/2015	45703	2751	CITY OF BENSON - SALES TAX	3,666.63	
11/15	11/13/2015	45704	2750	CITY OF BENSON - UTILITIES	2,803.99	
11/15	11/13/2015	45705	3074	COPPER STATE BOLT & NUT CO.	279.11	
11/15	11/13/2015	45706	3119	COX COMMUNICATIONS	1,095.62	
11/15	11/13/2015	45707	3124	COX MEDIA - WEST	828.00	
11/15	11/13/2015	45708	3129	CREATIVE COMMUNICATIONS	610.96	
11/15	11/13/2015	45709	3137	CROP PRODUCTION SERVICES INC	365.13	
11/15	11/13/2015	45710	3410	DIGGINS & SONS POWER SWEEPING	1,900.00	
11/15	11/13/2015	45711	3466	DUSTIN DESPAIN	391.30	
11/15	11/13/2015	45712	3648	ESG CORP	2,900.00	
11/15	11/13/2015	45713	3983	GEORGE'S AUTOMOTIVE	1,048.14	
11/15	11/13/2015	45714	4352	IIMC	250.00	
11/15	11/13/2015	45715	4419	INTERACTIVE CONTROLS, INC	1,389.60	
11/15	11/13/2015	45716	4464	J. MICHAEL MORGAN	590.00	
11/15	11/13/2015	45717	11725	JESSE INGRAM	140.00	
11/15	11/13/2015	45718	5989	LEGALSHIELD	119.55	
11/15	11/13/2015	45719	11739	MARK A DEWITT	72.26	
11/15	11/13/2015	45720	5190	MATTSON QUALITY PRODUCTS, INC	416.22	
11/15	11/13/2015	45721	5224	MERIT FOODS OF ARIZONA	1,006.28	
11/15	11/13/2015	45722	5268	MESCH, CLARK & ROTHSCHILD PC	11,518.35	
11/15	11/13/2015	45723	5544	NIKE USA, INC	1,018.46	
11/15	11/13/2015	45724	3151	OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH CENTERS	56.00	
11/15	11/13/2015	45725	5661	O'REILLY AUTOMOTIVE INC	28.11	
11/15	11/13/2015	45726	6252	RIKERTEK	390.00	
11/15	11/13/2015	45727	6701	SIMPLOT PARTNERS	10,127.42	
11/15	11/13/2015	45728	6774	SOUTHWEST DISPOSAL	30,260.27	
11/15	11/13/2015	45729	6802	STAMBACK SEPTIC SERVICE	208.24	
11/15	11/13/2015	45730	1415	STOTZ EQUIPMENT	550.52	
11/15	11/13/2015	45731	6975	SUMMIT SUPPLY CORP OF CO	1,016.00	
11/15	11/13/2015	45732	7040	TEXAS CANYON ROCK & SAND, INC.	167.72	
11/15	11/13/2015	45733	7510	UNITED FIRE EQUIPMENT COMPANY	296.03	
11/15	11/13/2015	45734	7403	US HEALTHWORKS MEDICAL GROUP AZ PC	118.00	
11/15	11/13/2015	45735	7667	VERIZON WIRELESS	358.11	
11/15	11/20/2015	45736	1117	ALL CREATURES VETERINARY SVC	159.75	

M = Manual Check, V = Void Check

GL Period	Check Issue Date	Check Number	Vendor Number	Payee	Amount
11/15	11/20/2015	45737	1129	ALLTECH GATE SYSTEMS LLC	197.50
11/15	11/20/2015	45738	1063	ANIMAL RURAL KLINIC	836.25
11/15	11/20/2015	45739	1430	AZ PUBLIC SAFETY RETIREMENT	12,499.65
11/15	11/20/2015	45740	2350	BUG-WISER EXTERMINATING CO	1,181.00
11/15	11/20/2015	45741	2784	CNG	1,330.00
11/15	11/20/2015	45742	2839	COCA COLA REFRESHMENTS	499.74
11/15	11/20/2015	45743	2870	COCHISE COUNTY TREASURER	14,918.04
11/15	11/20/2015	45744	3119	COX COMMUNICATIONS	30.81
11/15	11/20/2015	45745	3340	DEMCO INC.	149.73
11/15	11/20/2015	45746	3709	FARONICS	625.00
11/15	11/20/2015	45747	3904	GM FINANCIAL	28,700.96
11/15	11/20/2015	45748	4032	GOLF ARIZONA LLC	750.00
11/15	11/20/2015	45749	4094	H & E EQUIPMENT SERVICES	9,030.65
11/15	11/20/2015	45750	4333	HD SUPPLY WATERWORKS	655.94
11/15	11/20/2015	45751	11725	JESSE INGRAM	140.00
11/15	11/20/2015	45752	4907	LEGEND TECHNICAL SERVICES	694.00
11/15	11/20/2015	45753	5157	MARYLAND CHILD SUPPORT ACCOUNT	69.81
11/15	11/20/2015	45754	5224	MERIT FOODS OF ARIZONA	1,809.87
11/15	11/20/2015	45755	5295	MICROMARKETING, LLC	75.67
11/15	11/20/2015	45756	5418	MR ROOTER PLUMBING	450.25
11/15	11/20/2015	45757	5495	NATIONWIDE RETIREMENT SOLUTION	780.00
11/15	11/20/2015	45758	5645	OFFICE DEPOT, INC	64.24
11/15	11/20/2015	45759	5847	PET DOCTOR	755.75
11/15	11/20/2015	45760	5978	POSTAL PROS INC	1,503.88
11/15	11/20/2015	45761	6180	RECORDED BOOKS, LLC	424.15
11/15	11/20/2015	45762	6564	SENERGY PETROLEUM	5,062.00
11/15	11/20/2015	45763	1415	STOTZ EQUIPMENT	831.97
11/15	11/20/2015	45764	6970	SULPHUR SPRINGS VALLEY ELEC	30,186.67
11/15	11/20/2015	45765	2791	SUPPORT PAYMENT CLEARINGHOUSE	523.33
11/15	11/20/2015	45766	7969	THE TORO COMPANY - NSN	151.00
11/15	11/20/2015	45767	7219	TIAA-CREF AS AGENT FOR JPM	150.00
11/15	11/20/2015	45768	7253	TITLEIST	259.45
11/15	11/20/2015	45769	7279	TRANSWORLD NETWORK CORP	218.92
11/15	11/20/2015	45770	7510	UNITED FIRE EQUIPMENT COMPANY	384.59
11/15	11/20/2015	45771	7402	US FOODS	1,953.60
11/15	11/20/2015	45772	7697	WAXIE SANITARY SUPPLY	566.26
11/15	11/20/2015	45773	7882	ZOETIS US LLC	327.00
11/15	11/25/2015	45774	1334	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS	648.00
11/15	11/25/2015	45775	2075	BENSON NAPA	1,865.90
11/15	11/25/2015	45776	2327	BRADLEY QUIRING	200.00
11/15	11/25/2015	45777	2558	BRIAN WILLIAMS	88.50
11/15	11/25/2015	45778	2304	BROWN & ASSOCIATES	1,179.46
11/15	11/25/2015	45779	2599	CENTURYLINK	47.19
11/15	11/25/2015	45780	2750	CITY OF BENSON - UTILITIES	452.86
11/15	11/25/2015	45781	2842	COCHISE COUNTY TREASURER	2,502.75
11/15	11/25/2015	45782	3119	COX COMMUNICATIONS	191.98
11/15	11/25/2015	45783	3421	DIRECTV	187.98
11/15	11/25/2015	45784	3610	EMPIRE SOUTHWEST, LLC	43.59
11/15	11/25/2015	45785	3677	EXECUTECH	5,756.47
11/15	11/25/2015	45786	3704	FARMER BROTHERS COFFEE	173.80
11/15	11/25/2015	45787	11725	JESSE INGRAM	140.00
11/15	11/25/2015	45788	5618	L & E AUTO PARTS LLC	664.71
11/15	11/25/2015	45789	5212	MCI COMM SERVICE	32.42
11/15	11/25/2015	45790	5544	NIKE USA, INC	905.98
11/15	11/25/2015	45791	6066	PUBLIC SURPLUS	5.69
11/15	11/25/2015	45792	6252	RIKERTEK	435.00
11/15	11/25/2015	45793	6480	SAN PEDRO VALLEY NEWS	770.86

GL Period	Check Issue Date	Check Number	Vendor Number	Payee	Amount
11/15	11/25/2015	45794	6570	SHANNON'S AUTO PARTS	314.43
11/15	11/25/2015	45795	7089	THE COCHISE TRADING POST	46.00
11/15	11/25/2015	45796	7493	UNIFIRST CORPORATION	935.60
11/15	11/25/2015	45797	7536	USA BLUEBOOK	389.99
11/15	11/25/2015	45798	7753	WICK COMMUNICATIONS	155.00
12/15	12/01/2015	45799	8913	ALBERT ESTAVILLO	200.00
12/15	12/01/2015	45800	1216	AMERIPRIDE SERVICES INC	141.02
12/15	12/01/2015	45801	5551	ANICLETO D MALDONADO	200.00
12/15	12/01/2015	45802	2160	BENSON VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPT INC	6,600.00
12/15	12/01/2015	45803	2250	BLUE CROSS-BLUE SHIELD	41,439.55
12/15	12/01/2015	45804	2970	COLONIAL LIFE ASSURANCE	71.05
12/15	12/01/2015	45805	3050	COMMUNITY FOOD PANTRY OF	130.00
12/15	12/01/2015	45806	3240	DARRELL FOSTER	200.00
12/15	12/01/2015	45807	3975	GEOFFREY MCGOFFIN	200.00
12/15	12/01/2015	45808	8909	HAMILTON, RICHARD	200.00
12/15	12/01/2015	45809	4332	HUMANA	2,568.99
12/15	12/01/2015	45810	7895	JAMES HANSEN JR.	200.00
12/15	12/01/2015	45811	4505	JERRY FINK	200.00
12/15	12/01/2015	45812	4573	JOE RODRIGUEZ	200.00
12/15	12/01/2015	45813	8914	JOE ROTHERMICH	200.00
12/15	12/01/2015	45814	4840	LARRY NAPIER	200.00
12/15	12/01/2015	45815	4907	LEGEND TECHNICAL SERVICES	286.00
12/15	12/01/2015	45816	5191	MAX JONES	200.00
12/15	12/01/2015	45817	6010	PRINCIPAL LIFE	679.46
12/15	12/01/2015	45818	6144	RAY JOHNSON II	200.00
12/15	12/01/2015	45819	6777	SOUTHWESTERN AVIATION LLC	1,000.00
12/15	12/01/2015	45820	8907	THELANDER, JAMES	200.00
12/15	12/01/2015	45821	7536	USA BLUEBOOK	236.12
12/15	12/01/2015	45822	7652	VISION SERVICE PLAN	340.57
12/15	12/01/2015	45823	7675	WAL-MART BUSINESS	1,995.68
12/15	12/01/2015	45824	7790	WILLIAM BRANDT	200.00
Grand Totals:					354,860.81

Report Criteria:
Report type: Summary
Check.Type = {<>} "Adjustment"

Report Criteria:
Detail report.
Invoices with totals above $0.00 included.
Only paid invoices included.

Vendor	Vendor Name	Invoice Number	Description	Invoice Date	Net Invoice Amount	Amount Paid	Date Paid	Voided
10-22250								
1490	AZ STATE RETIREMENT ACC	111315	RETIREMENT CONTRIBUTION	11/20/2015	20,354.70	20,354.70	11/20/2015	
5495	NATIONWIDE RETIREMENT SO	111315	DEFERRED COMPENSATION	11/20/2015	780.00	780.00	11/20/2015	
7219	TIAA-CREF AS AGENT FOR JP	111315	#403743 - VOLUNTARY RETIRE	11/20/2015	150.00	150.00	11/20/2015	
	Total 10-22250:				21,284.70	21,284.70		
10-22255								
1430	AZ PUBLIC SAFETY RETIREME	111315	P.D. RETIREMENT	11/20/2015	11,955.45	11,955.45	11/20/2015	
1430	AZ PUBLIC SAFETY RETIREME	111315A	F.D. RETIREMENT	11/20/2015	544.20	544.20	11/20/2015	
	Total 10-22255:				12,499.65	12,499.65		
10-22265								
5157	MARYLAND CHILD SUPPORT A	L-111315	#340002729	11/20/2015	69.81	69.81	11/20/2015	
2791	SUPPORT PAYMENT CLEARIN	A-111315	#0002160133-00	11/20/2015	52.93	52.93	11/20/2015	
2791	SUPPORT PAYMENT CLEARIN	B-111315	0001716217-00	11/20/2015	140.77	140.77	11/20/2015	
2791	SUPPORT PAYMENT CLEARIN	K-111315	#001347635700	11/20/2015	148.61	148.61	11/20/2015	
2791	SUPPORT PAYMENT CLEARIN	L-111315	#000508942900	11/20/2015	181.02	181.02	11/20/2015	
	Total 10-22265:				593.14	593.14		
10-22280								
2250	BLUE CROSS-BLUE SHIELD	111615	HEALTH INSURANCE - AAA	11/16/2015	36,657.24	36,657.24	12/01/2015	
2250	BLUE CROSS-BLUE SHIELD	111615A	HEALTH INSURANCE - #AAC	11/16/2015	4,782.31	4,782.31	12/01/2015	
3648	ESG CORP	35446	MONTHLY FSA ADMINSTRATIO	11/09/2015	1,663.50	1,663.50	11/13/2015	
	Total 10-22280:				43,103.05	43,103.05		
10-22281								
1130	AMERICAN FAMILY LIFE ASSU	675570	EMPLOYEE INSURANCE	11/12/2015	1,801.13	1,801.13	12/01/2015	
	Total 10-22281:				1,801.13	1,801.13		
10-22282								
2970	COLONIAL LIFE ASSURANCE	8248601-1205	EMPLOYEE INSURANCE	11/25/2015	71.05	71.05	12/01/2015	
	Total 10-22282:				71.05	71.05		
10-22285								
4332	HUMANA	415781782	MONTHLY INSURANCE	11/13/2015	1,664.67	1,664.67	12/01/2015	
4332	HUMANA	415781782A	MONTHLY INSURANCE	11/13/2015	904.32	904.32	12/01/2015	
	Total 10-22285:				2,568.99	2,568.99		
10-22287								
7652	VISION SERVICE PLAN	111915	MONTHLY PAYMENT	11/19/2015	340.57	340.57	12/01/2015	
	Total 10-22287:				340.57	340.57		
10-22290								
6010	PRINCIPAL LIFE	111715	LIFE INSURANCE	11/17/2015	679.46	679.46	12/01/2015	

Vendor	Vendor Name	Invoice Number	Description	Invoice Date	Net Invoice Amount	Amount Paid	Date Paid	Voided
Total 10-22290:					679.46	679.46		
10-22293								
5989	LEGALSHIELD	110515	PRE-PAID LEGAL SERVICE	11/05/2015	119.55	119.55	11/13/2015	
Total 10-22293:					119.55	119.55		
10-301-65								
6066	PUBLIC SURPLUS	103115	AUCTION FEE	10/31/2015	5.69	5.69	11/25/2015	
Total 10-301-65:					5.69	5.69		
10-41-230								
3648	ESG CORP	35446	COBRA ADMINISTRATION	11/09/2015	127.50	127.50	11/13/2015	
Total 10-41-230:					127.50	127.50		
10-41-310								
3151	OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH CENT	158385575	PRE EMPLOYMENT DRUG TES	10/28/2015	56.00	56.00	11/13/2015	
Total 10-41-310:					56.00	56.00		
10-41-330								
3677	EXECUTECH	26980	IT MAINTENANCE	11/01/2015	4,550.00	4,550.00	11/25/2015	
3677	EXECUTECH	26980	IT MAINTENANCE	11/01/2015	1,206.47	1,206.47	11/25/2015	
Total 10-41-330:					5,756.47	5,756.47		
10-41-340								
3466	DUSTIN DESPAIN	102915A	MILEAGE/PER DIEM - GRANT W	10/29/2015	303.15	303.15	11/13/2015	
3466	DUSTIN DESPAIN	110915	LIQUOR LICENSE TRAINING -	11/09/2015	88.15	88.15	11/13/2015	
Total 10-41-340:					391.30	391.30		
10-41-410								
2750	CITY OF BENSON - UTILITIES	110615	UTILITIES	11/06/2015	193.54	193.54	11/13/2015	
3119	COX COMMUNICATIONS	110115A	CABLE	11/01/2015	25.95	25.95	11/13/2015	
6970	SULPHUR SPRINGS VALLEY EL	111015	POWER	11/10/2015	709.02	709.02	11/20/2015	
Total 10-41-410:					928.51	928.51		
10-41-415								
2599	CENTURYLINK	110115	MONTHLY SERVICE	11/01/2015	58.03	58.03	11/13/2015	
3119	COX COMMUNICATIONS	110115A	MONTHLY INTERNET SERVICE	11/01/2015	214.00	214.00	11/13/2015	
3119	COX COMMUNICATIONS	110115A	TELEPHONE	11/01/2015	661.67	661.67	11/13/2015	
Total 10-41-415:					933.70	933.70		
10-41-430								
2350	BUG-WISER EXTERMINATING	117321	EXTERMINATING	10/06/2015	62.00	62.00	11/20/2015	
Total 10-41-430:					62.00	62.00		
10-41-520								
1728	AZ MUN RISK RETENTION POO	9662/9663	INSURANCE	09/09/2015	14,495.74	14,495.74	11/13/2015	

Vendor	Vendor Name	Invoice Number	Description	Invoice Date	Net Invoice Amount	Amount Paid	Date Paid	Voided
Total 10-41-520:					14,495.74	14,495.74		
10-41-640								
7493	UNIFIRST CORPORATION	102615	MATS	10/26/2015	65.37	65.37	11/25/2015	
7675	WAL-MART BUSINESS	111615	SUPPLIES	11/16/2015	94.43	94.43	12/01/2015	
Total 10-41-640:					159.80	159.80		
10-41-670								
2075	BENSON NAPA	103115	PARTS	10/31/2015	147.95	147.95	11/25/2015	
2075	BENSON NAPA	103115	PARTS	10/31/2015	64.25	64.25	11/25/2015	
Total 10-41-670:					212.20	212.20		
10-43-300								
2304	BROWN & ASSOCIATES	28334	PLAN REVIEW	10/28/2015	79.46	79.46	11/25/2015	
2304	BROWN & ASSOCIATES	28334	BUILDING INSPECTIONS - OCT	10/28/2015	1,100.00	1,100.00	11/25/2015	
Total 10-43-300:					1,179.46	1,179.46		
10-43-470								
7493	UNIFIRST CORPORATION	102615	UNIFORMS	10/26/2015	29.28	29.28	11/25/2015	
Total 10-43-470:					29.28	29.28		
10-43-670								
2075	BENSON NAPA	103115	PARTS	10/31/2015	64.24	64.24	11/25/2015	
Total 10-43-670:					64.24	64.24		
10-45-300								
3129	CREATIVE COMMUNICATIONS	369245	REPAIRS	10/13/2015	5.00	5.00	11/13/2015	
4464	J. MICHAEL MORGAN	101315	PRE EMPLOYMENT	10/13/2015	590.00	590.00	11/13/2015	
7403	US HEALTHWORKS MEDICAL G	100915	PREPLACEMENT	10/09/2015	118.00	118.00	11/13/2015	
Total 10-45-300:					713.00	713.00		
10-45-340								
2558	BRIAN WILLIAMS	102715	PER DIEM - IDENTITY THEFT T	10/27/2015	88.50	88.50	11/25/2015	
11725	JESSE INGRAM	110515A	PER DIEM - 11/15 - 11/19/15	11/05/2015	140.00	140.00	11/13/2015	
11725	JESSE INGRAM	110515B	PER DIEM - ACADEMY	11/05/2015	140.00	140.00	11/20/2015	
11725	JESSE INGRAM	110515C	PER DIEM ACADEMY - 11/29-12/	11/05/2015	140.00	140.00	11/25/2015	
Total 10-45-340:					508.50	508.50		
10-45-410								
2750	CITY OF BENSON - UTILITIES	103015	UTILITIES	10/30/2015	53.32	53.32	11/13/2015	
2750	CITY OF BENSON - UTILITIES	110615	UTILITIES	11/06/2015	125.21	125.21	11/13/2015	
6970	SULPHUR SPRINGS VALLEY EL	111015	POWER	11/10/2015	124.49	124.49	11/20/2015	
6970	SULPHUR SPRINGS VALLEY EL	111015	POWER	11/10/2015	619.94	619.94	11/20/2015	
Total 10-45-410:					922.96	922.96		
10-45-415								
2599	CENTURYLINK	110115	MONTHLY SERVICE	11/01/2015	366.16	366.16	11/13/2015	
2599	CENTURYLINK	110115	MONTHLY SERVICE	11/01/2015	165.82	165.82	11/13/2015	

Vendor	Vendor Name	Invoice Number	Description	Invoice Date	Net Invoice Amount	Amount Paid	Date Paid	Voided
2599	CENTURYLINK	110115	MONTHLY SERVICE	11/01/2015	69.93	69.93	11/13/2015	
3119	COX COMMUNICATIONS	110115	MONTHLY INTERNET SERVICE	11/01/2015	194.00	194.00	11/13/2015	
7279	TRANSWORLD NETWORK COR	13555267	INTERNET SVC	11/10/2015	53.73	53.73	11/20/2015	
	Total 10-45-415:				849.64	849.64		
10-45-430								
2350	BUG-WISER EXTERMINATING	117333	EXTERMINATING	10/01/2015	29.00	29.00	11/20/2015	
	Total 10-45-430:				29.00	29.00		
10-45-594								
1117	ALL CREATURES VETERINARY	139057	CANINE RABIES	10/23/2015	24.00	24.00	11/20/2015	
1117	ALL CREATURES VETERINARY	139065	CANINE RABIES	10/23/2015	12.00	12.00	11/20/2015	
5847	PET DOCTOR	23388	CANINE SPAY, RABIES	10/15/2015	152.80	152.80	11/20/2015	
5847	PET DOCTOR	23780	CANINE/FELINE VOUCHER	10/21/2015	81.75	81.75	11/20/2015	
5847	PET DOCTOR	23781	CANINE NEUTER, RABIES & M	10/21/2015	180.40	180.40	11/20/2015	
5847	PET DOCTOR	24491	FELINE SPAY/NEUTER, CANINE	11/04/2015	340.80	340.80	11/20/2015	
7882	ZOETIS US LLC	9000754613	MEDICINE	10/05/2015	44.75	44.75	11/20/2015	
7882	ZOETIS US LLC	9000815642	MEDICINE	10/19/2015	282.25	282.25	11/20/2015	
	Total 10-45-594:				1,118.75	1,118.75		
10-45-595								
1117	ALL CREATURES VETERINARY	139052	SURGERY VOUCHER	10/23/2015	123.75	123.75	11/20/2015	
1063	ANIMAL RURAL KLINIC	101515	CAININE NEUTER, RABIES	10/15/2015	71.25	71.25	11/20/2015	
1063	ANIMAL RURAL KLINIC	101515A	FELINE SPAY	10/15/2015	101.25	101.25	11/20/2015	
1063	ANIMAL RURAL KLINIC	102115	FELINE SPAY, NEUTER	10/21/2015	67.50	67.50	11/20/2015	
1063	ANIMAL RURAL KLINIC	102115A	CANINE NEUTER, RABIES, MED	10/21/2015	135.00	135.00	11/20/2015	
1063	ANIMAL RURAL KLINIC	102215	CANINE SPAY,NEUTER	10/22/2015	232.50	232.50	11/20/2015	
1063	ANIMAL RURAL KLINIC	102915	CANINE SPAY, RABIES	10/29/2015	75.00	75.00	11/20/2015	
1063	ANIMAL RURAL KLINIC	102915A	CANINE NEUTER, RABIES	10/29/2015	153.75	153.75	11/20/2015	
	Total 10-45-595:				960.00	960.00		
10-45-640								
3051	CAPITAL ONE COMMERCIAL	102615	SUPPLIES	10/26/2015	96.85	96.85	11/13/2015	
7493	UNIFIRST CORPORATION	102615	MATS	10/26/2015	20.92	20.92	11/25/2015	
7675	WAL-MART BUSINESS	111615	SUPPLIES	11/16/2015	62.42	62.42	12/01/2015	
	Total 10-45-640:				180.19	180.19		
10-45-670								
2075	BENSON NAPA	103115	PARTS	10/31/2015	321.64	321.64	11/25/2015	
3983	GEORGE'S AUTOMOTIVE	37005	#17 - FRONT END ALIGNMENT	10/22/2015	70.00	70.00	11/13/2015	
3983	GEORGE'S AUTOMOTIVE	37008	#25 - LUBE, BRAKE ROTOR, PA	10/22/2015	350.02	350.02	11/13/2015	
3983	GEORGE'S AUTOMOTIVE	37067	#20 - LUBE, BATTERY, TIRE MT	11/02/2015	270.66	270.66	11/13/2015	
3983	GEORGE'S AUTOMOTIVE	37094	#28 - MISC REPAIRS	11/05/2015	357.46	357.46	11/13/2015	
	Total 10-45-670:				1,369.78	1,369.78		
10-45-715								
3904	GM FINANCIAL	ADVANCE/101	3 CHEVROLET TAHOES/2 CHEV	10/28/2015	28,700.96	28,700.96	11/20/2015	
	Total 10-45-715:				28,700.96	28,700.96		

Vendor	Vendor Name	Invoice Number	Description	Invoice Date	Net Invoice Amount	Amount Paid	Date Paid	Voided
10-46-300								
2160	BENSON VOLUNTEER FIRE DE	97	MONTHLY PAYMENT	12/01/2015	6,600.00	6,600.00	12/01/2015	
Total 10-46-300:					6,600.00	6,600.00		
10-46-410								
2750	CITY OF BENSON - UTILITIES	110615	UTILITIES	11/06/2015	125.21	125.21	11/13/2015	
6970	SULPHUR SPRINGS VALLEY EL	111015	POWER	11/10/2015	638.09	638.09	11/20/2015	
Total 10-46-410:					763.30	763.30		
10-46-415								
2599	CENTURYLINK	110115	MONTHLY SERVICE	11/01/2015	154.92	154.92	11/13/2015	
3119	COX COMMUNICATIONS	110615	TELEPHONE	11/06/2015	30.81	30.81	11/20/2015	
7667	VERIZON WIRELESS	9754426277	AIR CARDS	10/23/2015	280.07	280.07	11/13/2015	
Total 10-46-415:					465.80	465.80		
10-46-431								
7510	UNITED FIRE EQUIPMENT COM	625348	TURN OUT CLEANER	11/04/2015	176.03	176.03	11/13/2015	
Total 10-46-431:					176.03	176.03		
10-46-432								
2350	BUG-WISER EXTERMINATING	117334	EXTERMINATING	10/01/2015	37.00	37.00	11/20/2015	
7493	UNIFIRST CORPORATION	102615	MATS, SHOP TOWELS	10/26/2015	31.80	31.80	11/25/2015	
7493	UNIFIRST CORPORATION	102615	SUPPLIES	10/26/2015	33.46	33.46	11/25/2015	
7510	UNITED FIRE EQUIPMENT COM	625197	AIR QUALITY REPORT	11/03/2015	120.00	120.00	11/13/2015	
7675	WAL-MART BUSINESS	111615	SUPPLIES	11/16/2015	58.56	58.56	12/01/2015	
7675	WAL-MART BUSINESS	111615	SUPPLIES	11/16/2015	305.98	305.98	12/01/2015	
Total 10-46-432:					586.80	586.80		
10-46-670								
2075	BENSON NAPA	103115	PARTS	10/31/2015	24.71	24.71	11/25/2015	
5618	L & E AUTO PARTS LLC	103115	PARTS	10/31/2015	8.33	8.33	11/25/2015	
Total 10-46-670:					33.04	33.04		
10-49-410								
2750	CITY OF BENSON - UTILITIES	110615	UTILITIES	11/06/2015	258.94	258.94	11/13/2015	
6970	SULPHUR SPRINGS VALLEY EL	111015	POWER	11/10/2015	680.33	680.33	11/20/2015	
Total 10-49-410:					939.27	939.27		
10-49-430								
2350	BUG-WISER EXTERMINATING	117327	EXTERMINATING	10/01/2015	47.00	47.00	11/20/2015	
Total 10-49-430:					47.00	47.00		
10-49-640								
3340	DEMCO INC.	5732879	SUPPLIES	11/05/2015	149.73	149.73	11/20/2015	
3709	FARONICS	INUS0152694	DEEP FREEZE 3 YEAR MAINTE	10/30/2015	625.00	625.00	11/20/2015	
7493	UNIFIRST CORPORATION	102615	MATS	10/26/2015	60.13	60.13	11/25/2015	
7675	WAL-MART BUSINESS	111615	SUPPLIES	11/16/2015	25.85	25.85	12/01/2015	

Vendor	Vendor Name	Invoice Number	Description	Invoice Date	Net Invoice Amount	Amount Paid	Date Paid	Voided
Total 10-49-640:					860.71	860.71		
10-49-696								
5295	MICROMARKETING, LLC	589934	DVD	09/14/2015	4.99	4.99	11/20/2015	
5295	MICROMARKETING, LLC	596568	DVD	11/09/2015	70.68	70.68	11/20/2015	
6180	RECORDED BOOKS, LLC	75234423	BOOK ON CD	11/03/2015	173.20	173.20	11/20/2015	
6180	RECORDED BOOKS, LLC	75236691	BOOK ON CD	11/06/2015	250.95	250.95	11/20/2015	
Total 10-49-696:					499.82	499.82		
10-50-305								
1334	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF CO	COB PPE1023	DOC LABOR - 10/10-10/23/15	11/02/2015	153.00	153.00	11/25/2015	
Total 10-50-305:					153.00	153.00		
10-50-410								
2750	CITY OF BENSON - UTILITIES	103015	UTILITIES	10/30/2015	325.35	325.35	11/13/2015	
6970	SULPHUR SPRINGS VALLEY EL	111015	POWER	11/10/2015	1,529.73	1,529.73	11/20/2015	
Total 10-50-410:					1,855.08	1,855.08		
10-50-430								
5618	L & E AUTO PARTS LLC	103115	PARTS	10/31/2015	33.72	33.72	11/25/2015	
Total 10-50-430:					33.72	33.72		
10-50-470								
7493	UNIFIRST CORPORATION	102615	UNIFORMS	10/26/2015	85.72	85.72	11/25/2015	
Total 10-50-470:					85.72	85.72		
10-50-640								
5618	L & E AUTO PARTS LLC	103115	PARTS	10/31/2015	47.46	47.46	11/25/2015	
6975	SUMMIT SUPPLY CORP OF CO	77738	SUPPLIES	10/28/2015	1,016.00	1,016.00	11/13/2015	
7675	WAL-MART BUSINESS	111615	SUPPLIES	11/16/2015	52.42	52.42	12/01/2015	
7675	WAL-MART BUSINESS	111615	SUPPLIES	11/16/2015	19.53	19.53	12/01/2015	
7697	WAXIE SANITARY SUPPLY	75615025	SUPPLIES	11/06/2015	566.26	566.26	11/20/2015	
Total 10-50-640:					1,701.67	1,701.67		
10-50-670								
2075	BENSON NAPA	103115	PARTS	10/31/2015	64.25	64.25	11/25/2015	
Total 10-50-670:					64.25	64.25		
10-56-300								
3648	ESG CORP	35446	FSA MONTHLY	11/09/2015	264.00	264.00	11/13/2015	
3648	ESG CORP	35446	HRA MONTHLY ADMINISTRATI	11/09/2015	845.00	845.00	11/13/2015	
Total 10-56-300:					1,109.00	1,109.00		
10-56-640								
7675	WAL-MART BUSINESS	111615	SUPPLIES	11/16/2015	3.80	3.80	12/01/2015	
Total 10-56-640:					3.80	3.80		

Vendor	Vendor Name	Invoice Number	Description	Invoice Date	Net Invoice Amount	Amount Paid	Date Paid	Voided
10-57-410								
6970	SULPHUR SPRINGS VALLEY EL	111015	POWER	11/10/2015	502.27	502.27	11/20/2015	
Total 10-57-410:					502.27	502.27		
10-57-412								
2750	CITY OF BENSON - UTILITIES	111315	UTILITIES	11/13/2015	305.03	305.03	11/25/2015	
6970	SULPHUR SPRINGS VALLEY EL	111015	POWER	11/10/2015	565.78	565.78	11/20/2015	
Total 10-57-412:					870.81	870.81		
10-57-417								
3119	COX COMMUNICATIONS	111015	MONTHLY INTERNET SERVICE	11/10/2015	114.00	114.00	11/25/2015	
3119	COX COMMUNICATIONS	111015	TELEPHONE	11/10/2015	77.98	77.98	11/25/2015	
Total 10-57-417:					191.98	191.98		
10-57-432								
2350	BUG-WISER EXTERMINATING	117320	EXTERMINATING	10/01/2015	32.00	32.00	11/20/2015	
5418	MR ROOTER PLUMBING	4020	REPAIRS TO KITCHEN SINK DR	11/12/2015	285.30	285.30	11/20/2015	
Total 10-57-432:					317.30	317.30		
10-57-590								
1326	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF RE	111215	CLASS A LICENSE FEE - BINGO	11/12/2015	10.00	10.00	11/13/2015	
Total 10-57-590:					10.00	10.00		
10-57-610								
7675	WAL-MART BUSINESS	111615	SUPPLIES	11/16/2015	1.27	1.27	12/01/2015	
Total 10-57-610:					1.27	1.27		
10-57-640								
7675	WAL-MART BUSINESS	111615	SUPPLIES	11/16/2015	111.96	111.96	12/01/2015	
Total 10-57-640:					111.96	111.96		
10-58-545								
3050	COMMUNITY FOOD PANTRY O	93	MONTHLY ASSISTANCE	12/01/2015	130.00	130.00	12/01/2015	
Total 10-58-545:					130.00	130.00		
10-58-546								
2750	CITY OF BENSON - UTILITIES	110615	UTILITIES	11/06/2015	45.20	45.20	11/13/2015	
6970	SULPHUR SPRINGS VALLEY EL	111015	POWER	11/10/2015	327.12	327.12	11/20/2015	
Total 10-58-546:					372.32	372.32		
10-58-579								
3124	COX MEDIA - WEST	1216946	COMMERCIALS - BUTTERFIELD	10/26/2015	828.00	828.00	11/13/2015	
6480	SAN PEDRO VALLEY NEWS	103115B	#4108 - BUTTERFIELD ADS	10/31/2015	257.87	257.87	11/25/2015	
7753	WICK COMMUNICATIONS	103115	#2552 - BUTERFIELD ADS	10/31/2015	155.00	155.00	11/25/2015	
Total 10-58-579:					1,240.87	1,240.87		

Vendor	Vendor Name	Invoice Number	Description	Invoice Date	Net Invoice Amount	Amount Paid	Date Paid	Voided
10-60-410								
2750	CITY OF BENSON - UTILITIES	110615	UTILITIES	11/06/2015	39.33	39.33	11/13/2015	
6970	SULPHUR SPRINGS VALLEY EL	111015	POWER	11/10/2015	141.44	141.44	11/20/2015	
	Total 10-60-410:				180.77	180.77		
10-60-415								
2599	CENTURYLINK	110115	MONTHLY SERVICE	11/01/2015	234.11	234.11	11/13/2015	
	Total 10-60-415:				234.11	234.11		
10-60-430								
2350	BUG-WISER EXTERMINATING	117363	EXTERMINATING	10/05/2015	37.00	37.00	11/20/2015	
	Total 10-60-430:				37.00	37.00		
10-60-540								
2842	COCHISE COUNTY TREASURE	110315	2ND QTR PMT ADVERTISING	11/03/2015	2,502.75	2,502.75	11/25/2015	
	Total 10-60-540:				2,502.75	2,502.75		
10-60-610								
5645	OFFICE DEPOT, INC	804293941001	OFFICE SUPPIES	11/05/2015	64.24	64.24	11/20/2015	
	Total 10-60-610:				64.24	64.24		
10-60-640								
7493	UNIFIRST CORPORATION	102615	MATS	10/26/2015	23.50	23.50	11/25/2015	
7493	UNIFIRST CORPORATION	102615	SUPPLIES	10/26/2015	33.46	33.46	11/25/2015	
7675	WAL-MART BUSINESS	111615	SUPPLIES	11/16/2015	21.55	21.55	12/01/2015	
	Total 10-60-640:				78.51	78.51		
10-61-300								
5268	MESCH, CLARK & ROTHSCHILD	211100	OCTOBER BILLING	11/12/2015	11,518.35	11,518.35	11/13/2015	
	Total 10-61-300:				11,518.35	11,518.35		
10-62-590								
4352	IIMC	111215	2016 MEMBERSHIP DUES - CIT	09/15/2015	155.00	155.00	11/13/2015	
4352	IIMC	111215A	2016 MEMBERSHIP DUES - DEP	09/15/2015	95.00	95.00	11/13/2015	
	Total 10-62-590:				250.00	250.00		
10-64-540								
6480	SAN PEDRO VALLEY NEWS	103115A	# 6- ADVERTISING	10/31/2015	455.41	455.41	11/25/2015	
	Total 10-64-540:				455.41	455.41		
10-65-410								
2750	CITY OF BENSON - UTILITIES	103015	UTILITIES	10/30/2015	16.86	16.86	11/13/2015	
2750	CITY OF BENSON - UTILITIES	103015	UTILITIES	10/30/2015	85.07	85.07	11/13/2015	
6970	SULPHUR SPRINGS VALLEY EL	111015	POWER	11/10/2015	175.59	175.59	11/20/2015	
	Total 10-65-410:				277.52	277.52		

Vendor	Vendor Name	Invoice Number	Description	Invoice Date	Net Invoice Amount	Amount Paid	Date Paid	Voided
10-65-415								
2599	CENTURYLINK	110115	MONTHLY SERVICE	11/01/2015	154.74	154.74	11/13/2015	
7279	TRANSWORLD NETWORK COR	13555269	INTERNET SVC	11/10/2015	57.73	57.73	11/20/2015	
	Total 10-65-415:				212.47	212.47		
10-65-640								
7493	UNIFIRST CORPORATION	102615	MATS, MOP, SHOP TOWELS	10/26/2015	77.79	77.79	11/25/2015	
7675	WAL-MART BUSINESS	111615	SUPPLIES	11/16/2015	105.48	105.48	12/01/2015	
	Total 10-65-640:				183.27	183.27		
14-20300								
4118	GREYHOUND	111315	TICKET SALES	11/13/2015	375.21	375.21	11/13/2015	
4118	GREYHOUND	112015	TICKET SALES	11/20/2015	207.10	207.10	11/20/2015	
	Total 14-20300:				582.31	582.31		
14-40-415								
7667	VERIZON WIRELESS	9754487881	VIDEO CARDS	10/23/2015	78.04	78.04	11/13/2015	
	Total 14-40-415:				78.04	78.04		
14-40-520								
1728	AZ MUN RISK RETENTION POO	9662/9663	INSURANCE	09/09/2015	2,805.63	2,805.63	11/13/2015	
	Total 14-40-520:				2,805.63	2,805.63		
14-40-670								
2075	BENSON NAPA	103115	PARTS	10/31/2015	90.19	90.19	11/25/2015	
2075	BENSON NAPA	103115	PARTS	10/31/2015	64.25	64.25	11/25/2015	
2784	CNG	0403	#7003 - COMPLETE SERVICE	10/31/2015	175.00	175.00	11/20/2015	
	Total 14-40-670:				329.44	329.44		
20-40-300								
2350	BUG-WISER EXTERMINATING	117583	WEED CONTROL	10/06/2015	807.00	807.00	11/20/2015	
2870	COCHISE COUNTY TREASURE	21709	YARD WASTE	10/31/2015	4.00	4.00	11/20/2015	
3410	DIGGINS & SONS POWER SWE	53172	SWEEP STREETS - 10/15	10/31/2015	1,900.00	1,900.00	11/13/2015	
6802	STAMBACK SEPTIC SERVICE	5794	PORT A POTS 11/3 - 12/1/15	11/03/2015	208.24	208.24	11/13/2015	
	Total 20-40-300:				2,919.24	2,919.24		
20-40-305								
1334	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF CO	COB PPE1023	DOC LABOR - 10/10-10/23/15	11/02/2015	157.50	157.50	11/25/2015	
	Total 20-40-305:				157.50	157.50		
20-40-410								
6970	SULPHUR SPRINGS VALLEY EL	111015	POWER	11/10/2015	6,697.46	6,697.46	11/20/2015	
	Total 20-40-410:				6,697.46	6,697.46		
20-40-470								
7493	UNIFIRST CORPORATION	102615	UNIFORMS	10/26/2015	90.39	90.39	11/25/2015	

Vendor	Vendor Name	Invoice Number	Description	Invoice Date	Net Invoice Amount	Amount Paid	Date Paid	Voided
Total 20-40-470:					90.39	90.39		
20-40-520								
1728	AZ MUN RISK RETENTION POO	9662/9663	INSURANCE	09/09/2015	2,805.63	2,805.63	11/13/2015	
Total 20-40-520:					2,805.63	2,805.63		
20-40-640								
2075	BENSON NAPA	103115	PARTS	10/31/2015	525.23	525.23	11/25/2015	
5190	MATTSON QUALITY PRODUCT	28657	SUPPLIES	10/20/2015	64.21	64.21	11/13/2015	
6570	SHANNON'S AUTO PARTS	103115	PARTS	10/31/2015	284.43	284.43	11/25/2015	
7040	TEXAS CANYON ROCK & SAND	103115	6.08 TONS CONCRETE MIX	10/31/2015	167.72	167.72	11/13/2015	
Total 20-40-640:					1,041.59	1,041.59		
20-40-670								
2075	BENSON NAPA	103115	PARTS	10/31/2015	6.59	6.59	11/25/2015	
2075	BENSON NAPA	103115	PARTS	10/31/2015	64.25	64.25	11/25/2015	
2784	CNG	0404	#153 - BIXED BRAKE LIGHTS	10/29/2015	105.00	105.00	11/20/2015	
2784	CNG	0407	#154 - COMPLETE SERVICE CH	11/08/2015	210.00	210.00	11/20/2015	
2784	CNG	110815	#2701 - PERFORMED FEDERAL	11/08/2015	140.00	140.00	11/20/2015	
3610	EMPIRE SOUTHWEST, LLC	EMPS3747094	GAS CAP	11/05/2015	43.59	43.59	11/25/2015	
6570	SHANNON'S AUTO PARTS	103115	SHOP LABOR	10/31/2015	15.00	15.00	11/25/2015	
Total 20-40-670:					584.43	584.43		
46-41-730								
4094	H & E EQUIPMENT SERVICES	92367413	GRANT - EQUIPMENT	10/05/2015	9,030.65	9,030.65	11/20/2015	
Total 46-41-730:					9,030.65	9,030.65		
50-22900								
11739	MARK A DEWITT	110915	REFUND GAS DEPOSIT	11/09/2015	72.26	72.26	11/13/2015	
Total 50-22900:					72.26	72.26		
50-24500								
1690	AZ DEPT OF REVENUE - SALES	10/15	UTILITY SALES TAX	10/31/2015	5,837.85	5,837.85	11/13/2015	
2751	CITY OF BENSON - SALES TAX	10/15	CITY SALES TAX	10/31/2015	2,414.73	2,414.73	11/13/2015	
Total 50-24500:					8,252.58	8,252.58		
50-40-410								
2750	CITY OF BENSON - UTILITIES	110615	UTILITIES	11/06/2015	186.15	186.15	11/13/2015	
Total 50-40-410:					186.15	186.15		
50-40-440								
1095	AIRGAS USA, LLC	9931301113	CYLINDER RENTAL	10/31/2015	199.82	199.82	11/13/2015	
Total 50-40-440:					199.82	199.82		
50-40-470								
7493	UNIFIRST CORPORATION	102615	UNIFORMS	10/26/2015	90.29	90.29	11/25/2015	

Vendor	Vendor Name	Invoice Number	Description	Invoice Date	Net Invoice Amount	Amount Paid	Date Paid	Voided
Total 50-40-470:					90.29	90.29		
50-40-520								
1728	AZ MUN RISK RETENTION POO	9662/9663	INSURANCE	09/09/2015	11,690.13	11,690.13	11/13/2015	
Total 50-40-520:					11,690.13	11,690.13		
50-40-620								
5978	POSTAL PROS INC	2050	UTILITY BILLS	10/31/2015	375.97	375.97	11/20/2015	
Total 50-40-620:					375.97	375.97		
50-40-640								
3129	CREATIVE COMMUNICATIONS	369995	RADIO INSTALLED	10/28/2015	201.99	201.99	11/13/2015	
5190	MATTSON QUALITY PRODUCT	28657	SUPPLIES	10/20/2015	64.21	64.21	11/13/2015	
Total 50-40-640:					266.20	266.20		
50-40-670								
2075	BENSON NAPA	103115	PARTS	10/31/2015	64.25	64.25	11/25/2015	
Total 50-40-670:					64.25	64.25		
51-40-301								
4907	LEGEND TECHNICAL SERVICE	1516058	TESTING	11/04/2015	128.00	128.00	12/01/2015	
4907	LEGEND TECHNICAL SERVICE	1516060	TESTING	11/04/2015	32.00	32.00	12/01/2015	
4907	LEGEND TECHNICAL SERVICE	1516064	TESTING	11/04/2015	41.00	41.00	12/01/2015	
Total 51-40-301:					201.00	201.00		
51-40-410								
2750	CITY OF BENSON - UTILITIES	110615	UTILITIES	11/06/2015	127.71	127.71	11/13/2015	
6970	SULPHUR SPRINGS VALLEY EL	111015	POWER	11/10/2015	7,276.71	7,276.71	11/20/2015	
Total 51-40-410:					7,404.42	7,404.42		
51-40-430								
4333	HD SUPPLY WATERWORKS	E738145	PARTS	10/30/2015	655.94	655.94	11/20/2015	
7536	USA BLUEBOOK	795655	REPAIR PARTS FOR HYDRANT	11/05/2015	389.99	389.99	11/25/2015	
Total 51-40-430:					1,045.93	1,045.93		
51-40-470								
7493	UNIFIRST CORPORATION	102615	UNIFORMS	10/26/2015	148.86	148.86	11/25/2015	
Total 51-40-470:					148.86	148.86		
51-40-520								
1728	AZ MUN RISK RETENTION POO	9662/9663	INSURANCE	09/09/2015	2,338.03	2,338.03	11/13/2015	
Total 51-40-520:					2,338.03	2,338.03		
51-40-620								
5978	POSTAL PROS INC	2050	UTILITY BILLS	10/31/2015	375.97	375.97	11/20/2015	

Vendor	Vendor Name	Invoice Number	Description	Invoice Date	Net Invoice Amount	Amount Paid	Date Paid	Voided
Total 51-40-620:					375.97	375.97		
51-40-640								
1690	AZ DEPT OF REVENUE - SALES	10/15	MUNI WATER SALES TAX	10/31/2015	125.97	125.97	11/13/2015	
1901	BARNETTS PROPANE	103115	PROPANE	10/31/2015	13.00	13.00	11/13/2015	
3074	COPPER STATE BOLT & NUT C	I01394423	PARTS	10/09/2015	25.50	25.50	11/13/2015	
3074	COPPER STATE BOLT & NUT C	I01394424	PARTS	10/09/2015	221.54	221.54	11/13/2015	
3074	COPPER STATE BOLT & NUT C	I01394425	PARTS	10/09/2015	32.07	32.07	11/13/2015	
3129	CREATIVE COMMUNICATIONS	369995	RADIO INSTALLED	10/28/2015	201.99	201.99	11/13/2015	
5190	MATTSON QUALITY PRODUCT	28657	SUPPLIES	10/20/2015	64.21	64.21	11/13/2015	
Total 51-40-640:					684.28	684.28		
51-40-670								
2075	BENSON NAPA	103115	PARTS	10/31/2015	65.74	65.74	11/25/2015	
2075	BENSON NAPA	103115	PARTS	10/31/2015	64.25	64.25	11/25/2015	
2784	CNG	0402	#128 - VARIOUS REPAIRS	11/19/2015	245.00	245.00	11/20/2015	
6570	SHANNON'S AUTO PARTS	103115	SHOP LABOR	10/31/2015	15.00	15.00	11/25/2015	
Total 51-40-670:					389.99	389.99		
52-40-300								
4419	INTERACTIVE CONTROLS, INC	15124-2	TROUBLE SHOOT UV MACHINE	11/02/2015	1,389.60	1,389.60	11/13/2015	
Total 52-40-300:					1,389.60	1,389.60		
52-40-301								
4907	LEGEND TECHNICAL SERVICE	1515691	TESTING	10/28/2015	15.00	15.00	11/20/2015	
4907	LEGEND TECHNICAL SERVICE	1515741	TESTING	10/29/2015	15.00	15.00	11/20/2015	
4907	LEGEND TECHNICAL SERVICE	1515886	TESTING	10/31/2015	15.00	15.00	11/20/2015	
4907	LEGEND TECHNICAL SERVICE	1515894	TESTING	10/31/2015	15.00	15.00	11/20/2015	
4907	LEGEND TECHNICAL SERVICE	1516029	TESTING	11/04/2015	15.00	15.00	12/01/2015	
4907	LEGEND TECHNICAL SERVICE	1516130	TESTING	11/05/2015	40.00	40.00	12/01/2015	
4907	LEGEND TECHNICAL SERVICE	1516157	TESTING	10/31/2015	634.00	634.00	11/20/2015	
4907	LEGEND TECHNICAL SERVICE	1516173	TESTING	11/06/2015	15.00	15.00	12/01/2015	
4907	LEGEND TECHNICAL SERVICE	1516284	TESTING	11/09/2015	15.00	15.00	12/01/2015	
Total 52-40-301:					779.00	779.00		
52-40-410								
2750	CITY OF BENSON - UTILITIES	103015	UTILITIES	10/30/2015	64.21	64.21	11/13/2015	
2750	CITY OF BENSON - UTILITIES	110615	UTILITIES	11/06/2015	127.71	127.71	11/13/2015	
6970	SULPHUR SPRINGS VALLEY EL	111015	POWER	11/10/2015	5,820.86	5,820.86	11/20/2015	
Total 52-40-410:					6,012.78	6,012.78		
52-40-415								
2599	CENTURYLINK	110115	MONTHLY SERVICE	11/01/2015	97.04	97.04	11/13/2015	
5212	MCI COMM SERVICE	111115	LONG DISTANCE - WWTP	11/11/2015	32.42	32.42	11/25/2015	
7279	TRANSWORLD NETWORK COR	13550817	INTERNET SERVICE - PERK PL	11/06/2015	53.73	53.73	11/20/2015	
7279	TRANSWORLD NETWORK COR	13555270	INTERNET SVC	11/10/2015	53.73	53.73	11/20/2015	
Total 52-40-415:					236.92	236.92		
52-40-470								
7493	UNIFIRST CORPORATION	102615	UNIFORMS	10/26/2015	73.12	73.12	11/25/2015	

Vendor	Vendor Name	Invoice Number	Description	Invoice Date	Net Invoice Amount	Amount Paid	Date Paid	Voided
Total 52-40-470:					73.12	73.12		
52-40-520								
1728	AZ MUN RISK RETENTION POO	9662/9663	INSURANCE	09/09/2015	7,014.08	7,014.08	11/13/2015	
Total 52-40-520:					7,014.08	7,014.08		
52-40-620								
5978	POSTAL PROS INC	2050	UTILITY BILLS	10/31/2015	375.97	375.97	11/20/2015	
Total 52-40-620:					375.97	375.97		
52-40-630								
7675	WAL-MART BUSINESS	111615	SUPPLIES	11/16/2015	17.47	17.47	12/01/2015	
Total 52-40-630:					17.47	17.47		
52-40-640								
3129	CREATIVE COMMUNICATIONS	369995	RADIO INSTALLED	10/28/2015	201.98	201.98	11/13/2015	
5618	L & E AUTO PARTS LLC	103115	PARTS	10/31/2015	25.24	25.24	11/25/2015	
5190	MATTSON QUALITY PRODUCT	28658	SUPPLIES	10/20/2015	223.59	223.59	11/13/2015	
7536	USA BLUEBOOK	797980	# 71229 - WWTP SUPPLIES	11/09/2015	236.12	236.12	12/01/2015	
7675	WAL-MART BUSINESS	111615	SUPPLIES	11/16/2015	67.52	67.52	12/01/2015	
Total 52-40-640:					754.45	754.45		
52-40-670								
2075	BENSON NAPA	103115	PARTS	10/31/2015	169.86	169.86	11/25/2015	
2075	BENSON NAPA	103115	PARTS	10/31/2015	64.25	64.25	11/25/2015	
2784	CNG	0401	#2010 - REPLACED BATTERIES,	11/07/2015	350.00	350.00	11/20/2015	
2784	CNG	0405	#2009 - PERFORMED FEDERAL	11/07/2015	105.00	105.00	11/20/2015	
Total 52-40-670:					689.11	689.11		
53-40-620								
5978	POSTAL PROS INC	2050	UTILITY BILLS	10/31/2015	375.97	375.97	11/20/2015	
Total 53-40-620:					375.97	375.97		
53-40-665								
2870	COCHISE COUNTY TREASURE	21709	TRANSFER STATION EXPENSE	10/31/2015	14,914.04	14,914.04	11/20/2015	
Total 53-40-665:					14,914.04	14,914.04		
53-40-667								
6774	SOUTHWEST DISPOSAL	1441918	RESIDENTIAL / COMMERICAL P	10/31/2015	16,690.68	16,690.68	11/13/2015	
Total 53-40-667:					16,690.68	16,690.68		
53-40-668								
6774	SOUTHWEST DISPOSAL	1441918	COMMERCIAL PICK UP	10/31/2015	13,569.59	13,569.59	11/13/2015	
Total 53-40-668:					13,569.59	13,569.59		
55-24500								
1690	AZ DEPT OF REVENUE - SALES	10/15	RETAIL SALES TAX	10/31/2015	2,882.23	2,882.23	11/13/2015	

Vendor	Vendor Name	Invoice Number	Description	Invoice Date	Net Invoice Amount	Amount Paid	Date Paid	Voided
2751	CITY OF BENSON - SALES TAX	10/15	SALES TAX	10/31/2015	1,251.90	1,251.90	11/13/2015	
Total 55-24500:					4,134.13	4,134.13		
55-40-300								
1216	AMERIPRIDE SERVICES INC	1700502699	MATS	10/28/2015	23.76	23.76	11/13/2015	
1216	AMERIPRIDE SERVICES INC	1700502703	LINENS	10/28/2015	129.97	129.97	11/13/2015	
1216	AMERIPRIDE SERVICES INC	1700504668	MATS	11/04/2015	23.76	23.76	12/01/2015	
1216	AMERIPRIDE SERVICES INC	1700506569	MATS	11/11/2015	23.76	23.76	12/01/2015	
1216	AMERIPRIDE SERVICES INC	1700508541	MATS	11/18/2015	23.76	23.76	12/01/2015	
2350	BUG-WISER EXTERMINATING	117370	EXTERMINATING	10/03/2015	60.00	60.00	11/20/2015	
2592	CENTRAL ALARM INC	822300	ALARM SERVICE	11/01/2015	67.00	67.00	11/13/2015	
5418	MR ROOTER PLUMBING	3963	LIFT STATION	10/28/2015	164.95	164.95	11/20/2015	
Total 55-40-300:					516.96	516.96		
55-40-310								
5544	NIKE USA, INC	975252582	INVENTORY	08/20/2015	121.09	121.09	11/13/2015	
5544	NIKE USA, INC	975509070	INVENTORY	08/25/2015	897.37	897.37	11/13/2015	
5544	NIKE USA, INC	975925864	INVENTORY	09/02/2015	905.98	905.98	11/25/2015	
7253	TITLEIST	901485437	INVENTORY	10/16/2015	259.45	259.45	11/20/2015	
Total 55-40-310:					2,183.89	2,183.89		
55-40-350								
1136	AMERICAN EXPRESS	10/15A	CREDIT CARD CHARGES	10/30/2015	14.34	14.34	11/13/2015	
3651	ETS CORPORATION	10/15	CREDIT CARD FEES	10/31/2015	365.21	365.21	11/13/2015	
3651	ETS CORPORATION	10/15B	FEE	10/31/2015	1.00	1.00	11/13/2015	
Total 55-40-350:					380.55	380.55		
55-40-410								
2750	CITY OF BENSON - UTILITIES	103015	UTILITIES	10/30/2015	782.84	782.84	11/13/2015	
6970	SULPHUR SPRINGS VALLEY EL	111015	POWER	11/10/2015	1,497.27	1,497.27	11/20/2015	
Total 55-40-410:					2,280.11	2,280.11		
55-40-415								
2599	CENTURYLINK	110115	MONTHLY SERVICE	11/01/2015	533.38	533.38	11/13/2015	
Total 55-40-415:					533.38	533.38		
55-40-520								
1728	AZ MUN RISK RETENTION POO	9662/9663	INSURANCE	09/09/2015	1,870.42	1,870.42	11/13/2015	
Total 55-40-520:					1,870.42	1,870.42		
55-40-540								
4032	GOLF ARIZONA LLC	044	ADVERTISING	09/01/2015	750.00	750.00	11/20/2015	
Total 55-40-540:					750.00	750.00		
55-40-660								
6564	SENERGY PETROLEUM	244465	GASOLINE	11/11/2015	1,192.18	1,192.18	11/20/2015	
Total 55-40-660:					1,192.18	1,192.18		

Vendor	Vendor Name	Invoice Number	Description	Invoice Date	Net Invoice Amount	Amount Paid	Date Paid	Voided
55-50-300								
2327	BRADLEY QUIRING	101515A	CONSULTING - GREENS	10/15/2015	200.00	200.00	11/25/2015	
2350	BUG-WISER EXTERMINATING	117386	EXTERMINATING	10/07/2015	35.00	35.00	11/20/2015	
2592	CENTRAL ALARM INC	822301	ALARM SERVICE	11/01/2015	28.00	28.00	11/13/2015	
7969	THE TORO COMPANY - NSN	110115	SOFTWARE SUPPORT	11/01/2015	151.00	151.00	11/20/2015	
	Total 55-50-300:				414.00	414.00		
55-50-305								
1334	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF CO	COB PPE1023	DOC LABOR - 10/10-10/23/15	11/02/2015	337.50	337.50	11/25/2015	
	Total 55-50-305:				337.50	337.50		
55-50-410								
2750	CITY OF BENSON - UTILITIES	103015	UTILITIES	10/30/2015	247.34	247.34	11/13/2015	
6970	SULPHUR SPRINGS VALLEY EL	111015	POWER	11/10/2015	1,635.03	1,635.03	11/20/2015	
	Total 55-50-410:				1,882.37	1,882.37		
55-50-415								
2599	CENTURYLINK	111015	TELEPHONE	11/10/2015	47.19	47.19	11/25/2015	
	Total 55-50-415:				47.19	47.19		
55-50-470								
7493	UNIFIRST CORPORATION	102615	UNIFORMS	10/26/2015	71.51	71.51	11/25/2015	
	Total 55-50-470:				71.51	71.51		
55-50-600								
7675	WAL-MART BUSINESS	111615	SUPPLIES	11/16/2015	65.48	65.48	12/01/2015	
	Total 55-50-600:				65.48	65.48		
55-50-602								
6701	SIMPLOT PARTNERS	209017398	FERTILIZER	08/27/2015	1,566.29	1,566.29	11/13/2015	
	Total 55-50-602:				1,566.29	1,566.29		
55-50-604								
3137	CROP PRODUCTION SERVICES	28605010	CHEMICALS	10/29/2015	365.13	365.13	11/13/2015	
	Total 55-50-604:				365.13	365.13		
55-50-609								
6701	SIMPLOT PARTNERS	209017398	SEED	08/27/2015	8,561.13	8,561.13	11/13/2015	
	Total 55-50-609:				8,561.13	8,561.13		
55-50-660								
6564	SENERGY PETROLEUM	244468	GASOLINE/DIESEL	11/11/2015	3,869.82	3,869.82	11/20/2015	
	Total 55-50-660:				3,869.82	3,869.82		
55-50-670								
5618	L & E AUTO PARTS LLC	103115	PARTS	10/31/2015	549.96	549.96	11/25/2015	
5661	O'REILLY AUTOMOTIVE INC	102815	PARTS	10/28/2015	28.11	28.11	11/13/2015	

Vendor	Vendor Name	Invoice Number	Description	Invoice Date	Net Invoice Amount	Amount Paid	Date Paid	Voided
1415	STOTZ EQUIPMENT	P19636	PARTS	09/26/2015	70.44	70.44	11/13/2015	
1415	STOTZ EQUIPMENT	P19641	PARTS	09/26/2015	480.08	480.08	11/13/2015	
1415	STOTZ EQUIPMENT	P20432	PARTS	11/04/2015	831.97	831.97	11/20/2015	
Total 55-50-670:					1,960.56	1,960.56		
55-60-300								
1216	AMERIPRIDE SERVICES INC	1700504671	LINENS	11/04/2015	69.74	69.74	12/01/2015	
Total 55-60-300:					69.74	69.74		
55-60-310								
3051	CAPITAL ONE COMMERCIAL	102615	FOOD	10/26/2015	328.67	328.67	11/13/2015	
2839	COCA COLA REFRESHMENTS	0235055009	DRINKS	10/08/2015	150.69	150.69	11/20/2015	
2839	COCA COLA REFRESHMENTS	0235057708	DRINKS	11/12/2015	349.05	349.05	11/20/2015	
3704	FARMER BROTHERS COFFEE	62714422SO	FOOD	11/04/2015	173.80	173.80	11/25/2015	
5224	MERIT FOODS OF ARIZONA	178721B	FOOD	10/20/2015	291.14	291.14	11/13/2015	
5224	MERIT FOODS OF ARIZONA	179868B	FOOD	10/28/2015	715.14	715.14	11/13/2015	
5224	MERIT FOODS OF ARIZONA	181063B	FOOD	11/03/2015	667.34	667.34	11/20/2015	
5224	MERIT FOODS OF ARIZONA	181721B	FOOD	11/07/2015	419.69	419.69	11/20/2015	
5224	MERIT FOODS OF ARIZONA	182285C	FOOD	11/11/2015	722.84	722.84	11/20/2015	
7402	US FOODS	3143859A	CREDIT	10/12/2015	35.29-	35.29-	11/20/2015	
7402	US FOODS	3529231	FOOD	11/02/2015	1,124.59	1,124.59	11/20/2015	
7402	US FOODS	3725859	FOOD	11/12/2015	659.99	659.99	11/20/2015	
7675	WAL-MART BUSINESS	111615	FOOD	11/16/2015	882.71	882.71	12/01/2015	
Total 55-60-310:					6,450.36	6,450.36		
55-60-315								
6756	SOUTHERN ARIZONA DISTRIB	269968	BEER COSTS	11/06/2015	460.75	460.75	11/20/2015	
6756	SOUTHERN ARIZONA DISTRIB	270869	BEER COSTS	11/13/2015	525.75	525.75	11/20/2015	
Total 55-60-315:					986.50	986.50		
55-60-320								
6765	SOUTHERN WINE & SPIRITS O	1050040	LIQUOR COSTS	11/05/2015	443.80	443.80	11/20/2015	
Total 55-60-320:					443.80	443.80		
55-60-350								
1136	AMERICAN EXPRESS	10/15	CREDIT CARD FEES	10/30/2015	37.68	37.68	11/13/2015	
3651	ETS CORPORATION	10/15A	CREDIT CARD FEES	10/31/2015	249.99	249.99	11/13/2015	
3651	ETS CORPORATION	10/15C	FEES	10/31/2015	1.00	1.00	11/13/2015	
Total 55-60-350:					288.67	288.67		
55-60-420								
3421	DIRECTV	27187625149	DIRECTV	11/19/2015	187.98	187.98	11/25/2015	
Total 55-60-420:					187.98	187.98		
55-60-430								
7510	UNITED FIRE EQUIPMENT COM	092915	INSPECT WATER BASED ANNU	09/29/2015	145.00	145.00	11/20/2015	
7510	UNITED FIRE EQUIPMENT COM	102715	EXTINGUISHER INSPECTION	10/27/2015	239.59	239.59	11/20/2015	
Total 55-60-430:					384.59	384.59		

Vendor	Vendor Name	Invoice Number	Description	Invoice Date	Net Invoice Amount	Amount Paid	Date Paid	Voided
55-60-440								
1195	AUTO CHLOR	155200300293	DISHWASHER	10/21/2015	221.34	221.34	11/13/2015	
Total 55-60-440:					221.34	221.34		
55-60-540								
6480	SAN PEDRO VALLEY NEWS	103115	#2102 - ADVERTISING	10/31/2015	57.58	57.58	11/25/2015	
7089	THE COCHISE TRADING POST	13823	ADVERTISING	11/03/2015	46.00	46.00	11/25/2015	
Total 55-60-540:					103.58	103.58		
55-60-603								
3051	CAPITAL ONE COMMERCIAL	102615	SUPPLIES	10/26/2015	242.37	242.37	11/13/2015	
7402	US FOODS	3725860	SUPPLIES	11/12/2015	54.91	54.91	11/20/2015	
Total 55-60-603:					297.28	297.28		
55-60-605								
7402	US FOODS	3529232	SUPPLIES	11/02/2015	149.40	149.40	11/20/2015	
7675	WAL-MART BUSINESS	111615	SUPPLIES	11/16/2015	18.47	18.47	12/01/2015	
Total 55-60-605:					167.87	167.87		
55-60-610								
7675	WAL-MART BUSINESS	111615	SUPPLIES	11/16/2015	80.78	80.78	12/01/2015	
Total 55-60-610:					80.78	80.78		
56-40-300								
6252	RIKERTEK	1946	REPAIRS AT AIRPORT - GATES	11/06/2015	390.00	390.00	11/13/2015	
6252	RIKERTEK	1955	REPAIRS TO GATE CARDS	11/20/2015	435.00	435.00	11/25/2015	
6777	SOUTHWESTERN AVIATION LL	67	CONTRACTUAL PAYMENT	12/01/2015	1,000.00	1,000.00	12/01/2015	
6777	SOUTHWESTERN AVIATION LL	67		12/01/2015	.00	.00		
Total 56-40-300:					1,825.00	1,825.00		
56-40-410								
2750	CITY OF BENSON - UTILITIES	111315	UTILITIES	11/13/2015	147.83	147.83	11/25/2015	
6970	SULPHUR SPRINGS VALLEY EL	111015	POWER	11/10/2015	1,245.54	1,245.54	11/20/2015	
Total 56-40-410:					1,393.37	1,393.37		
56-40-415								
2599	CENTURYLINK	110115	MONTHLY SERVICE	11/01/2015	65.64	65.64	11/13/2015	
Total 56-40-415:					65.64	65.64		
56-40-430								
1129	ALLTECH GATE SYSTEMS LLC	26523	REPAIRS TO GATE	10/29/2015	197.50	197.50	11/20/2015	
2350	BUG-WISER EXTERMINATING	117366	EXTERMINATING	10/01/2015	35.00	35.00	11/20/2015	
Total 56-40-430:					232.50	232.50		
56-40-520								
1728	AZ MUN RISK RETENTION POO	9662/9663	INSURANCE	09/09/2015	3,740.84	3,740.84	11/13/2015	

Vendor	Vendor Name	Invoice Number	Description	Invoice Date	Net Invoice Amount	Amount Paid	Date Paid	Voided
Total 56-40-520:					3,740.84	3,740.84		
70-40-660								
8913	ALBERT ESTAVILLO	97	FIREMEN'S PENSION	12/15/2015	200.00	200.00	12/01/2015	
5551	ANICLETO D MALDONADO	36	FIREMEN'S PENSION	12/15/2015	200.00	200.00	12/01/2015	
3240	DARRELL FOSTER	4	Pension Payment	12/01/2015	200.00	200.00	12/01/2015	
3975	GEOFFREY MCGOFFIN	97	FIREMEN'S PENSION	12/15/2015	200.00	200.00	12/01/2015	
8909	HAMILTON, RICHARD	97	FIREMEN'S PENSION	12/15/2015	200.00	200.00	12/01/2015	
7895	JAMES HANSEN JR.	97	FIREMEN'S PENSION	12/15/2015	200.00	200.00	12/01/2015	
4505	JERRY FINK	107	FIREMEN'S PENSION	12/15/2015	200.00	200.00	12/01/2015	
4573	JOE RODRIGUEZ	10	FIREMEN'S PENSION	12/01/2015	200.00	200.00	12/01/2015	
8914	JOE ROTHERMICH	97	FIREMEN'S PENSION	12/15/2015	200.00	200.00	12/01/2015	
4840	LARRY NAPIER	80	FIREMEN'S PENSION	12/15/2015	200.00	200.00	12/01/2015	
5191	MAX JONES	97	FIREMEN'S PENSION	12/15/2015	200.00	200.00	12/01/2015	
6144	RAY JOHNSON II	94	FIREPENSION	12/15/2015	200.00	200.00	12/01/2015	
8907	THELANDER, JAMES	97	FIREMEN'S PENSION	12/15/2015	200.00	200.00	12/01/2015	
7790	WILLIAM BRANDT	3	FIRE PENSION PAYMENT	12/01/2015	200.00	200.00	12/01/2015	
Total 70-40-660:					2,800.00	2,800.00		
Grand Totals:					355,060.81	355,060.81		

Dated: _____

Mayor: _____

City Council: _____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

City Recorder: _____

Report Criteria:
Detail report.
Invoices with totals above $0.00 included.
Only paid invoices included.